arXiv:1203.2728v1 [math.GR] 13 Mar 2012

ON THE MAXIMAL NUMBER OF COPRIME SUBDEGREES IN FINITE PRIMITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS

SILVIO DOLFI, ROBERT GURALNICK, CHERYL E. PRAEGER, AND PABLO SPIGA

Abstract. The subdegrees of a transitive permutation group are the orbit lengths of a point stabilizer. For a finite primitive permutation group which is not cyclic of prime order, the largest subdegree shares a non-trivial common factor with each non-trivial subdegree. On the other hand it is possible for non-trivial subdegrees of primitive groups to be coprime, a famous example being the rank 5 action of the small Janko group J_1 on 266 points which has subdegrees of lengths 11 and 12. We prove that, for every finite primitive group, the maximal size of a set of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees is at most 2.

1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the *subdegrees* of a finite primitive permutation group. The set of of a transitive group G is the set of orbit lengths of the stabilizer G_ω of a point ω, and we say that a subdegree d of G is *non-trivial* if $d \neq 1$. We announced in [10, Theorem 1.7] that a primitive permutation group could not have as many as three pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees. Here we prove this theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite primitive permutation group. Then the largest subset of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of G has cardinality at most 2.*

This theorem is related to a classical result on finite primitive groups. In 1935 Marie Weiss [23, Theorem 3] showed that, if G is a finite primitive group which is not cyclic of prime order, then the largest of the subdegrees has non-trivial divisors in common with all the other non-trivial subdegrees. It was observed by Peter Neumann in 1973 [23, Corollary (2), page 93] that Weiss's theorem implies that a finite primitive group with k pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees has rank at least 2^k. Neumann remarked that 'groups of small rank with non-trivial co-prime subdegrees appear to be rather rare', and posed a question of Peter Cameron [23, Problem 1, page 93] on the existence of a primitive rank 4 group with two coprime non-trivial subdegrees, that is to say, a group meeting the bound 2^k with $k = 2$. That no such group exists was verified by Cameron himself (see [6, Remark in Section 1.32]), using the finite simple group classification. The smallest rank for coprime subdegrees to occur is 5 with the famous example of J_1 of degree 266 with

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B15, 20H30.

Key words and phrases. coprime subdegrees; primitive groups.

Address correspondence to P. Spiga, E-mail: pablo.spiga@unimib.it

The first author is supported by the MIUR project "Teoria dei gruppi ed applicazioni". The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1001962. The third author is supported by the ARC Federation Fellowship Project FF0776186. The fourth author is supported by the University of Western Australia as part of the Federation Fellowship project.

subdegrees $1, 11, 12, 110$ and 132 first studied by Livingstone [21]. Our Theorem 1.1 shows that the parameter k is at most 2. We emphasise that a primitive group may have several pairs of coprime non-trivial subdegrees – examples are given in [10, Example 4.3]. Our result simply prohibits triples of pairwise coprime subdegrees.

We say that a subgroup L of a nonabelian simple group T is *pseudo-maximal* in T if there exists an almost simple group A with socle T and a maximal subgroup M of A with $T \nsubseteq M$ and $L = T \cap M$ (see [10, Definition 1.8]). Theorem 1.10 in [10] shows that Theorem 1.1 holds true if the following result on nonabelian simple groups (see [10, Theorem 1.9]) is true.

Theorem A. *Let T be a transitive nonabelian simple permutation group and assume that the stabilizer of a point is pseudo-maximal in T. Then the largest subset of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of T has cardinality at most* 2.

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem A using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, thus proving Theorem 1.1. The structure of the paper is straighforward. In Section 2 and 3 we collect some auxiliary results. We prove Theorem A for the alternating groups in Section 4, for the classical groups in Section 5, for the exceptional groups of Lie type in Section 6 and finally for the sporadic simple groups in Section 7.

One of the most efficient methods for analyzing a finite primitive permutation group G is to study the *socle* N of G, that is, the subgroup generated by the minimal normal subgroups of G. The O'Nan-Scott theorem describes in detail the embedding of N in G and collects some useful information on the action of N. In [24] eight types of primitive groups are defined (depending on the structure and on the action of the socle), namely HA (*Holomorphic Abelian*), AS (*Almost Simple*), SD (*Simple Diagonal*), CD (*Compound Diagonal*), HS (*Holomorphic Simple*), HC (*Holomorphic Compound*), TW (*Twisted wreath*), PA (*Product Action*), and it is shown in [17] that every primitive group belongs to exactly one of these types. Combining [10, Theorem 1.3, 1.4] with Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary determining the maximal number of non-trivial pairwise coprime subdegrees of a primitive group according to its O'Nan-Scott type.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a finite primitive group. If G has two non-trivial coprime subdegrees, then G is of AS, PA or TW type.*

Results concerning the subdegrees of a finite permutation group can often give interesting applications in Field Theory (see for example [10, Corollary 1.9]). In fact, Theorem 1.1 has the following surprising application.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $K = k[\theta]$ be a minimal separable field extension that is not Galois. Let $f(x) \in k[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of θ over k and write $f(x) = (x-\theta)g_1(x)\cdots g_r(x)$ with $g_i \in K[x]$ irreducible over K, for each $i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$. Then the maximal number of $g_i(x)$ of pairwise coprime degree is* 2.

Proof. Let L be the normal closure of K/k. As K is separable, L/k is a Galois extension. Let G be the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ and set $H = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. By the minimality of K, the group G acts primitively on the right cosets G/H of H in G. The degrees of the $g_i(x)$ are precisely the non-trivial subdegrees of H in the action on G/H. Now apply Theorem 1.1. $\square$

2. Embedding results

The main results in this section are Propositions 2.7 and 2.9, which will prove to be important in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Definition 2.1. If G is a finite group, we let $\mu(G)$ denote the maximal size of a set $\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$ of proper subgroups of G with $|G:G_i|$ and $|G:G_j|$ relatively prime, for each two distinct elements i and j of I.

The following remark is used in Section 3.

Remark 2.2. The number $\mu(G)$ equals the maximal size of a set $\{M_j\}_{j\in J}$ of maximal subgroups of G with $|G:M_i|$ and $|G:M_j|$ relatively prime, for each two distinct elements i and j in J. Clearly, $|J|\leq \mu(G)$. Conversely, let $\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of proper subgroups of G with relatively prime index in G. Let M_i be a maximal subgroup of G with $A_i\leq M_i$. Since $|G:G_i|$ is coprime to $|G:G_j|$ for $i\neq j$, we have $M_i\neq M_j$ and $|G:M_i|$ is coprime to $|G:M_j|$. Thus $\mu(G)\leq |J|$.

We recall that a finite group E is said to be *quasisimple* if $E=[E,E]$ and $E/\mathbf{Z}(E)$ is a nonabelian simple group, where $\mathbf{Z}(E)$ is the centre of the group E. Furthermore, we say that the finite group G is a *central product* of A and B, if A and B are non-identity proper subgroups of G with $[A,B]=1$ and $G=AB$. We recall that a *component* E of G is a quasisimple subnormal subgroup of G. As usual, we denote by $\mathbf{E}(G)$ the group generated by the set $\{E_1,\ldots,E_\ell\}$ (possibly empty) of components of G, by $\mathbf{F}(G)$ the Fitting subgroup of G and by $\mathbf{F}^*(G)=\mathbf{F}(G)\mathbf{E}(G)$ the generalized Fitting subgroup of G. If is well-known [2, Chapter 11] that $\mathbf{E}(G)=E_1\cdots E_\ell$ is a central product of $E_1,\ldots,E_\ell$ (here $\mathbf{E}(G)=1$ if G has no components), that $[\mathbf{F}(G),\mathbf{E}(G)]=1$, that $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(G))=\mathbf{E}(G)$ and that $\mathbf{C}_G(\mathbf{F}^*(G))\leq \mathbf{F}^*(G)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $K=E_1\cdots E_\ell$ is a central product of ℓ quasisimple groups with $E_i/\mathbf{Z}(E_i)\cong E_j/\mathbf{Z}(E_j)$, for each $i,j\in\{1,\ldots,\ell\}$. Then $\mu(K)\leq 2$.*

Proof. As E_i is quasisimple for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,\ell\}$, we have $K=[K,K]$ and $K/\mathbf{Z}(K)=T_1\times\cdots\times T_\ell$ with $T_i=E_i\mathbf{Z}(K)/\mathbf{Z}(K)$. By hypothesis there is a nonabelian simple group T such that, for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,\ell\}$, we have $T_i\cong T$. We argue by contradiction and we assume that $\mu(K)\geq 3$, that is, K has three proper subgroups A_1, A_2 and A_3 with $|K:A_1|$, $|K:A_2|$ and $|K:A_3|$ relatively prime. Assume first that $A_i\mathbf{Z}(K)<K$, for each $j\in\{1,2,3\}$. So, $A_1\mathbf{Z}(K)/\mathbf{Z}(K)$, $A_2\mathbf{Z}(K)/\mathbf{Z}(K)$ and $A_3\mathbf{Z}(K)/\mathbf{Z}(K)$ are three proper subgroups of $K/\mathbf{Z}(K)$ with relatively prime indices, that is, $\mu(K/\mathbf{Z}(K))\geq 3$. Now, from [10, Lemma 5.2] we have $\mu(T^\ell)\leq 2$, and hence we obtain a contradiction. This shows that $K=A_{j_0}\mathbf{Z}(K)$, for some $j_0\in\{1,2,3\}$. Now, we have $K=[K,K]=[A_{j_0}\mathbf{Z}(K),A_{j_0}\mathbf{Z}(K)]=[A_{j_0},A_{j_0}]\leq A_{j_0}$, but this contradicts the fact that A_{j_0} is a proper subgroup of K. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω and let $\omega\in\Omega$. Suppose that N is normal in G_ω and N fixes a unique point on Ω. Then the maximal size of a subset of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of G is at most $\mu(N)$.*

Proof. Let $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_r$ be elements of $\Omega\setminus\{\omega\}$ with $|\omega_i^{G_\omega}|$ relatively prime to $|\omega_j^{G_\omega}|$, for distinct elements i and j in $\{1,\ldots,r\}$. For every $i\in\{1,\ldots,r\}$, set $N_i=N_{\omega_i}$. Since N fixes only the point ω of Ω, the group N_i is a proper subgroup of N. Furthermore, as $N\trianglelefteq G_\omega$, the index $|N:N_i|$ divides $|\omega_i^{G_\omega}|$. From Definition 2.1, we obtain $r\leq\mu(N)$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let H be a finite group such that $\mathbf{C}_H(\mathbf{E}(H)) = 1$ and $\mathbf{E}(H) \cong T^\ell$ for some nonabelian simple group T and for some $\ell \geq 1$. Let F be a subgroup of H with $F = F_1 \cdots F_{\ell'}$ the central product of ℓ' quasisimple groups with $F_i/\mathbf{Z}(F_i) \cong T$ and $\ell' \geq \ell$. Then $F = \mathbf{E}(H)$.*

Proof. Write $\mathbf{E}(H) = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_\ell \cong T^\ell$ with $T_i \cong T$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. As $\mathbf{C}_H(\mathbf{E}(H)) = 1$, the group H is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{E}(H))$. So, replacing H by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{E}(H))$ if necessary, we may assume that $H = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{E}(H))$.

Write $E = \mathbf{E}(H)$. We argue by induction on ℓ. Assume that $\ell = 1$. Since $\mathrm{Out}(T)$ is soluble and $F = [F, F]$, we obtain $F \leq E$ and so $F = E$. Assume that $\ell > 1$. We prove a preliminary claim from which the proof will follow.

Claim 1. If F is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(m)$, then $m \geq \ell' d$, where d is the minimal degree of a faithful permutation representation of T. In particular, $m \geq 5\ell'$.

We prove it by induction on $|F|$. Let $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_k$ be the orbits of F on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and let L_j be the permutation group induced by F on Λ_j. In particular, $L_j/\mathbf{Z}(L_j) \cong T^{\ell_j}$ for some $0 \leq \ell_j \leq \ell'$, and $\ell' \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \ell_j$. If, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have $|L_j| < |F|$, then by induction we obtain $|\Lambda_j| \geq \ell_j d$. In particular, $m = \sum_{j=1}^k |\Lambda_j| \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \ell_j d \geq \ell' d$. Therefore, we may assume that $|F| = |L_j|$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, that is, F acts faithfully and transitively on Λ_j. In particular, replacing the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ by Λ_j if necessary, we may assume that F is a transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(m)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the system of imprimitivity consisting of the orbits of $\mathbf{Z}(F)$. Let K be the kernel of the action of F on $\mathcal{B}$ and let $F^{\mathcal{B}}$ be the permutation group induced by F on $\mathcal{B}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{Z}(F) \leq K$. Assume that $\mathbf{Z}(F) < K$. In particular, since $F/\mathbf{Z}(F) \cong T^{\ell'}$, there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell'\}$ with $F_i \leq K$. Let B be a $\mathbf{Z}(F)$-orbit and $\lambda \in B$. Since $\mathbf{Z}(F)$ is abelian, $\mathbf{Z}(F)$ acts regularly on B and hence $F_i = \mathbf{Z}(F)(F_i)_\lambda$. In particular, $F_i = [F_i, F_i] = [(F_i)_\lambda, (F_i)_\lambda] = (F_i)_\lambda$ and F_i fixes the point λ of B. Since $F_i \trianglelefteq F$, F is transitive and $F_j \leq F_\lambda$, we see that $F_i = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $K = \mathbf{Z}(F)$ and $F^{\mathcal{B}} \cong T^{\ell'}$. From [11, Theorem 3.1], we have $|\mathcal{B}| \geq \ell' d$. Therefore, $m \geq |\mathcal{B}| \geq \ell' d$. Finally, since $\mathrm{Sym}(4)$ is soluble, we have $d \geq 5$. ∎

Let K be the kernel of the action by conjugation of H on the set $\{T_1, \ldots, T_\ell\}$ of ℓ simple direct factors of E. Clearly, $F \cap K$ is a normal subgroup of F. Assume that $F \cap K \leq \mathbf{Z}(F)$. Then $FK/K \cong F/(F \cap K)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)$ and hence, by Claim 1 applied to $F/(F \cap K)$, we obtain $\ell \geq 5\ell'$, a contradiction since by assumption $\ell' \geq \ell$. Thus $F \cap K \nleq \mathbf{Z}(F)$. Since $F \cap K \trianglelefteq F$ and $F/\mathbf{Z}(F) \cong T^{\ell'}$, there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell'\}$ with $F_i \leq K$. Relabelling F_i by F_1 if necessary, we may assume that $F_1 \leq K$.

Since $K/E \cong \mathrm{Out}(T)^\ell$, $\mathrm{Out}(T)$ is soluble and $F_1 = [F_1, F_1]$, we see that $F_1 \leq E$.

For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, let $\pi_j : E \to T_j$ be the projection onto the j^{th} coordinate of E and let L_j be the kernel of π_j. Since $F_1 \leq E$ and $L_j \trianglelefteq E$, we have $F_1 \cap L_j \trianglelefteq F_1$ and so either $F_1 \cap L_j \leq \mathbf{Z}(F_1)$ or $F_1 \leq L_j$. Write $J = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\} \mid F_1 \leq L_j\}$. If $J = \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, then $F_1 \leq \cap_{j=1}^{\ell} L_j = 1$, a contradiction. Thus, relabelling the set $\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ if necessary, we may assume that $J = \{m+1, \ldots, \ell\}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Fix j in $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Now, as $F_1 \cap L_j \leq \mathbf{Z}(F_1)$, we have $|T| \geq |\pi_j(F_1)| = |F_1 : F_1 \cap L_j| = |F_1 : \mathbf{Z}(F_1)||\mathbf{Z}(F_1) : F_1 \cap L_j| = |T||\mathbf{Z}(F_1) : F_1 \cap L_j|$ and hence $\pi_j(F_1) = T_j$ and $F_1 \cap L_j = \mathbf{Z}(F_1)$. Since this argument does not depend on $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we have $\mathbf{Z}(F_1) = F_1 \cap (\cap_{j=1}^m L_j) = F_1 \cap (T_{m+1} \times \cdots \times T_\ell)$. Moreover, since for each

$j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ we have $T_j = \pi_j(F_1)$, we see that $F_1 \leq D \times T_{m+1} \times \cdots \times T_\ell$ where D is a diagonal subgroup of $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_m$, that is, D is conjugate under an element of H to the diagonal subgroup $\{(t, \ldots, t) \mid t \in T\}$ of $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_m$. Summing up, this gives $F_1 = D \times \mathbf{Z}(F_1)$. As $F_1 = [F_1, F_1]$, we have $\mathbf{Z}(F_1) = 1$ and $F_1 = D$.

Since $H = \mathrm{Aut}(E)$, we have $\mathbf{C}_H(F_1) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(m) \times \mathrm{Aut}(T^{\ell-m})$. Let A be the normal subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_H(F_1)$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}(m)$ and let B be the normal subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_H(F_1)$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(T^{\ell-m})$. Now the group $F_2 \cdots F_{\ell'}$ is contained in $\mathbf{C}_H(F_1) = A \times B$. From Claim 1, A contains at most $m/5$ of the components $F_2 \cdots F_{\ell'}$. Also, by induction, we have that B contains at most $\ell - m$ of the components $F_2 \cdots F_{\ell'}$ and, if equality is met then $F_2 \cdots F_{\ell'} = T_{m+1} \times \cdots \times T_\ell$. Therefore, $\ell' - 1 \leq m/5 + \ell - m$. Since $\ell' \geq \ell$, this gives $\ell' = \ell$, $m = 1$, $F_1 = T_1$ and $F_2 \cdots F_{\ell'} = T_2 \times \cdots \times T_\ell$. In particular, $F = E$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let H be a finite group and $E = \mathbf{E}(H)$. Assume that $\mathbf{C}_H(E)$ is soluble and $E/\mathbf{Z}(E) \cong T^\ell$ for some nonabelian simple group T and for some $\ell \geq 1$. If $f : E \to H$ is an injective homomorphism, then $f(E) = E$.*

Proof. We write $E = \mathbf{E}(H)$, $Z = \mathbf{Z}(E)$ and $\overline{H} = H/\mathbf{C}_H(E)$. Let $- : H \to \overline{H}$ be the natural projection. Here we use the "bar" notation, that is, we denote by $\overline{X}$ the image under $-$ of the subgroup X of H.

In this paragraph we show that $\mathbf{C}_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E}) = 1$. We have $\mathbf{C}_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E}) = C/\mathbf{C}_H(E)$ for some subgroup C of H. Since $[\overline{C}, \overline{E}] = 1$ and $E \trianglelefteq H$, we obtain $[C, E] \leq \mathbf{C}_H(E) \cap E = \mathbf{Z}(E)$. In particular, $[[C, E], E] = 1$ and $[[E, C], E] = 1$. Now, from the Three Subgroup Lemma, we have $[E, C] = [[E, E], C] = 1$. Thus $C \leq \mathbf{C}_H(E)$ and $\overline{C} = 1$.

Since every component of $\overline{H}$ is either contained in $\overline{E}$ or commutes with $\overline{E}$, and since $\mathbf{C}_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E}) = 1$, we obtain that $\overline{E} = \mathbf{E}(\overline{H})$.

Write $F = f(E)$ and $\overline{F} = \overline{f(E)}$. As $\mathbf{C}_H(E)$ is soluble and f is injective, we have $F \cap \mathbf{C}_H(E) \leq \mathbf{Z}(F)$ and $\overline{F} \cong F/(F \cap \mathbf{C}_H(E))$ is a central product of ℓ quasisimple groups. Since $\overline{E} \cong \overline{F}/\mathbf{Z}(\overline{F}) \cong T^\ell$, from Lemma 2.5, we have $\overline{F} = \overline{E}$. Therefore, $F\mathbf{C}_H(E) = E\mathbf{C}_H(E)$. Since $F = [F, F]$, $E = [E, E]$ and $\mathbf{C}_H(E)$ is soluble, we obtain that the last term of the derived series of $F\mathbf{C}_H(E)$ (respectively $E\mathbf{C}_H(E)$) is F (respectively E), that is, $F = E$. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω. For $\omega \in \Omega$, assume that $\mathbf{C}_{G_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(G_\omega))$ is soluble, that $\mathbf{E}(G_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(G_\omega)) \cong T^\ell$ for some nonabelian simple group T and for some $\ell \geq 1$, and that $G_\omega = \mathbf{N}_G(\mathbf{E}(G_\omega))$. Then the maximal size of a subset of non-trivial pairwise coprime subdegrees of G is at most 2.*

Proof. Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and write $E = \mathbf{E}(G_\omega)$. Assume that E fixes an element ω' of Ω. Let $g \in G$ with $\omega^g = \omega'$. Now $E^{g^{-1}} \leq G_\omega$ and so, from Lemma 2.6 applied with $H = G_\omega$, we have $E^{g^{-1}} = E$ and hence $g \in \mathbf{N}_G(E) = G_\omega$. This yields $\omega' = \omega$ and hence E fixes a unique point of Ω. Now the proof follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. □

Let $G = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_\ell$ be the direct product of nonabelian simple groups. We say that T_i has *multiplicity* r in G, if G has exactly r simple direct factors isomorphic to T_i.

Lemma 2.8. *Let H be a finite group. Assume that each simple direct factor of $\mathbf{E}(H)/\mathbf{Z}(H)$ has multiplicity at most 4 and that H has a unique component Q such that $Q/\mathbf{Z}(Q)$ has largest order among the components of H. If $f : Q \to H$ is an injective homomorphism, then $f(Q) = Q$.*

Proof. Write $R = f(Q)$ and $\mathbf{E}(H) = E_1 \cdots E_\ell$ with $E_1, \ldots, E_\ell$ the components of $\mathbf{E}(H)$. Set $\mathbf{E}(H)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H)) = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_\ell$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and with T_i a nonabelian simple group, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Relabelling the index set $\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ if necessary, we may assume that $E_1 = Q$. The group H acts by conjugation on the set $\{T_1, \ldots, T_\ell\}$ of ℓ simple direct factors of $\mathbf{E}(H)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H))$. The kernel of the action of H on $\{T_1, \ldots, T_\ell\}$ is $K = \cap_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{N}_H(T_i)$. Since T_i has multiplicity at most 4 in $\mathbf{E}(H)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H))$, we see that H/K has orbits of length at most 4 and hence H/K is soluble.

As R is quasisimple, this yields $R \leq K$. As $\mathrm{Out}(T_i)$ is soluble for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ and since $K/\mathbf{E}(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}(T_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Out}(T_\ell)$, we obtain that $K/\mathbf{E}(H)$ is soluble. As R is quasisimple, we get $R \leq \mathbf{E}(H)$.

For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, let $\pi_j : \mathbf{E}(H) \to T_j$ the natural projection onto the j^{th} factor T_j of $\mathbf{E}(H)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H))$ and let L_j be the kernel of π_j. Since $R \cap L_j \trianglelefteq R$, we have that either $R \cap L_j \leq \mathbf{Z}(R)$ or $R \leq L_j$. In the former case, $|T_j| \geq \pi_j(R) = |R : R \cap L_j| \geq |R : \mathbf{Z}(R)| = |T_1|$ and hence $j = 1$ because of the maximality and uniqueness of $|T_1|$. Therefore this yields $R \leq \cap_{j=2}^{\ell} L_j = E_1\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H))$. Since $R = [R, R]$, we obtain $R \leq [E_1\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H)), E_1\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(H))] = E_1$ and hence, since f is injective, $R = E_1$. □

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω. For $\omega \in \Omega$, assume that each simple direct factor of $\mathbf{E}(G_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(G_\omega))$ has multiplicity at most 4, and that $\mathbf{E}(G_\omega)$ has a unique component Q such that $Q/\mathbf{Z}(Q)$ has largest order among the components of $\mathbf{E}(H)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{N}_G(Q) = G_\omega$. Then the maximal size of a subset of non-trivial pairwise coprime subdegrees of G is at most 2.*

Proof. If Q fixes the element ω' of Ω, then there exists $g \in G$ with $\omega' = \omega^g$ and $Q^{g^{-1}} \leq G_\omega$. From Lemma 2.8, we have $Q^{g^{-1}} = Q$ and so $g \in \mathbf{N}_G(Q) = G_\omega$. This yields $\omega' = \omega$ and Q fixes a unique point of Ω. Now the proof follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. □

The following proposition is taken from [27, Theorem 3.7].

Proposition 2.10. *Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω. For $\omega \in \Omega$, assume that G_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then p divides the degree of every non-trivial suborbit of G.*

Proof. See [27, Theorem 3.7]. □

3. Auxiliary lemmas

We say that a factorization $H = AB$ is *coprime* if $|H : A|$ is relatively prime to $|H : B|$ and both A, B are proper subgroups of H (see [10, Section 2]). Also $H = AB$ is *maximal* if A and B are maximal subgroups of H.

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a finite group, r a prime, and R a normal r-subgroup of H. Assume that $H/R = E_1 \cdots E_\ell$ is a central product of ℓ quasisimple groups with $E_i/\mathbf{Z}(E_i) \cong E_j/\mathbf{Z}(E_j)$, for each $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Then $\mu(H) \leq 3$.*

Assume further that $\mu(H) = 3$ *and let* A_1, A_2 *and* A_3 *be maximal subgroups of* H *with* $|H : A_i|$ *relatively prime to* $|H : A_j|$*, for distinct* i *and* j *in* $\{1, 2, 3\}$*. Let* U *be the normal subgroup of* H *with* $U/R = \mathbf{Z}(H/R)$*. Then relabelling the set* $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ *if necessary,* $|H : A_3|$ *is divisible by* r*,* $U \leq A_1, A_2$ *and* $H/U = (A_1/U)(A_2/U)$ *is a coprime maximal factorization of* H/U*.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mu(H) \geq 3$ and let A_1, A_2 and A_3 be maximal subgroups of H with $|H : A_i|$ relatively prime to $|H : A_j|$, for distinct i and j in $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Since A_i is maximal, we obtain that either $H = A_iR$ or $R \leq A_i$. In the former case, the index $|H : A_i| = |R : A_i \cap R|$ is divisible by r. In the latter case, A_i/R is a maximal subgroup of H/R. From Lemma 2.3, we have $\mu(H/R) \leq 2$ and so, in particular, $\mu(H) = 3$ (since we are assuming $\mu(H) \geq 3$). We note that this proves the first assertion of the lemma. Since $\mu(H/R) \leq 2$, there exists exactly one element A_i in $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ with $R \nsubseteq A_i$, and there are exactly two elements A_j and A_k in $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ with $R \leq A_j, A_k$. Thus, replacing A_i by A_3 if necessary, we may assume that $R \leq A_1, A_2$ and that $|H : A_3|$ is divisible by r. Since A_1/R and A_2/R are maximal subgroups of H/R and as H/R is a central product of quasisimple groups, we have that $U \leq A_1, A_2$. Hence $H/U = (A_1/U)(A_2/U)$ is a maximal factorization of the characteristically simple group H/U with $\gcd(|H : A_1|, |H : A_2|) = 1$. □

Given a finite group G, we say that the normal subgroup N of G is the *last term of the derived series* of G if G/N is soluble and $N = [N, N]$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* T *be a transitive permutation group on* Ω*, let* ω *be in* Ω *and let* N *be the last term of the derived series of* T_ω*. If* $T_\omega = \mathbf{N}_T(N)$*, then* N *fixes only the point* ω*.*

Proof. Suppose that N fixes ω' and write $\omega' = \omega^g$, for some $g \in T$. Set $K = N^{g^{-1}}$. Since $K \leq T_\omega$, T_ω/N is soluble and NK/N is isomorphic to $K/(K \cap N)$, we see that $K/(K \cap N)$ is soluble. Since $K = [K, K]$, we obtain that $K = N \cap K$ and so $N = K = N^{g^{-1}}$. This shows that $g \in \mathbf{N}_T(N) = T_\omega$. So $\omega' = \omega$ and N fixes only the point ω of Ω. □

Remark 3.3. Let T be a nonabelian simple permutation group on a set Ω and let T_ω be pseudo-maximal in T, with $\omega \in \Omega$. So, there exists an almost simple group A with socle T and a maximal subgroup M of A such that $T \nsubseteq M$ and $T_\omega = T \cap M$. Let N be a characteristic subgroup of T_ω. Then $M = \mathbf{N}_A(N)$, because M is maximal in A and $T_\omega \trianglelefteq M$. Hence, $T_\omega = T \cap \mathbf{N}_A(N) = \mathbf{N}_T(N)$. Furthermore, as $T_\omega = \mathbf{N}_T(T_\omega)$, we obtain that ω is the only fixed point of T_ω in Ω. We will use these two facts repeatedly in the following.

4. Alternating groups

Proof of Theorem A for the alternating groups. A subgroup H of $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ is either intransitive, imprimitive or primitive in its action on {1,. . . ,n}. In the proof of this theorem we consider these three cases separately.

Let $T = \mathrm{Alt}(n)$, for some $n \geq 5$. Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and write $H = T_\omega$. Assume that H is intransitive in the natural action of T of degree n. Then $H \cong (\mathrm{Sym}(k) \times \mathrm{Sym}(n-k)) \cap T$, for some k with $1 \leq k < n/2$. (Note that for n even, $(\mathrm{Sym}(n/2) \times \mathrm{Sym}(n/2)) \cap T$ is not pseudo-maximal in T.) In particular, the action of T on Ω is permutation equivalent to the action of $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$ on the k-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Suppose that $n-k \geq 5$. Let N be the minimal normal subgroup of H isomorphic to $\mathrm{Alt}(n-k)$. Clearly, N is simple and fixes a unique k-subset of $\{1,\dots,n\}$. So, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, the group T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees on Ω. Now, suppose that $n-k \leq 4$. If $k \leq 2$, then the rank of T is at most 3 and the assertion follows immediately. If $k \geq 3$, then $(n,k)=(7,3)$ and by direct inspection we see that T has no pair of non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

Assume next that H is imprimitive in the natural action of T of degree n. Then $H \cong (\mathrm{Sym}(k) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/k)) \cap T$, for some divisor k of n with $1<k<n$. In particular, the action of T on Ω is permutation equivalent to the action of $\mathrm{Alt}(n)$ on the set $\mathcal{P}$ of partitions of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ into n/k parts all of size k. Suppose that $k \geq 5$. Let N be the socle of H. Clearly, $N \cong \mathrm{Alt}(k)^{n/k}$ and N fixes a unique element of $\mathcal{P}$. So, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 yield that T has at most 2 non-trivial pairwise coprime subdegrees on Ω. It remains to consider the case that $k \in \{2,3,4\}$. Let N be the normal subgroup of H isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}(k)^{n/k} \cap T$. Clearly, N fixes a unique element of $\mathcal{P}$. Furthermore, since N is a $\{2,3\}$-group, we have $\mu(N) \leq 2$. Therefore Lemma 2.4 yields that T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

It remains to consider the case that H is a primitive subgroup of T in the natural action of degree n. Let N be the socle of H. Suppose that $N \cong S^{\ell}$ for some nonabelian simple group S and $\ell \geq 1$. Clearly, $N=\mathbf{E}(H)$ and $\mathbf{C}_H(N)=1$, and $\mathbf{N}_T(N)=H$ because H is pseudo-maximal in T. In particular, from Proposition 2.7 we see that T has at most 2 non-trivial pairwise coprime subdegrees. Finally assume that N is an elementary abelian p-group. In the rest of the proof, we identify $\mathrm{AGL}(d,p)$ with its image under the natural affine permutation representation. So $H=\mathrm{AGL}(d,p) \cap T$ and hence H is isomorphic to a subgroup of index 2 in $\mathrm{AGL}(d,p)$ if p is odd, and $H=\mathrm{AGL}(d,p)$ if $p=2$. (Note that the affine general linear group $\mathrm{AGL}(d,p)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Alt}(p^d)$ only for $p=2$.) If $d=1$, then by Proposition 2.10 every subdegree of T is divisible by p. Assume now that $d>1$. Suppose that T has two coprime subdegrees $n_1=|\omega_1^H|$ and $n_2=|\omega_2^H|$. We show that either n_1 or n_2 is divisible by p, from which it follows that T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees. We argue by contradiction and we assume that n_1 and n_2 are not divisible by p. In particular, each of H_{ω_1} and H_{ω_2} contains a Sylow p-subgroup of H. Therefore, from [25, Theorem 1] we have that $H_{\omega_1}=(N \rtimes P_1) \cap T$ and $H_{\omega_2}=(N \rtimes P_2) \cap T$ with P_1 and P_2 maximal parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(d,p)$, that is,

$$P_i \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}(d_i,p), \mathrm{GL}(d-d_i,p), B \in \mathrm{Mat}(d_i \times (d-d_i),p) \right\}$$

where $1 \leq d_i \leq d-1$, for $i=1,2$. For each $i \in \{1,2\}$, we have $N \leq H_{\omega_i}$, and so, from the modular law, we obtain $H_{\omega_i}=N \rtimes (P_i \cap T)$. Therefore

$$n_i=|H:H_{\omega_i}|=|\,\mathrm{GL}(d,p)\cap T : P_i \cap T|=|\,\mathrm{GL}(d,p):P_i|,$$

for $i=1,2$. Since n_1 and n_2 are coprime, $\mathrm{GL}(d,p)=P_1P_2$, leading to a factorization of $\mathrm{PGL}(d,p)$ by two maximal parabolics. No such factorization exists, see for example [18, Table 1]. □

5. CLASSICAL GROUPS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 when the simple group T is a classical group. We use Aschbacher's theorem, which subdivides the maximal subgroups

Group	Soluble case	Isomorphisms
$\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$	$n=1$ or $(n,q)=(2,2),(2,3)$	
$\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$	$(n,q)=(2,2),(2,3)$	$\mathrm{PSp}_2(q)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$
$\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$	$n=1$ or $(n,q)=(2,2),(2,3),(3,2)$	$\mathrm{PSU}_2(q)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$	$n=1$ or $(n,q)=(3,3)$	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_3(q)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, $\mathrm{P}\Omega_5(q)=\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$	$n=2$ or $(n,q)=(4,2),(4,3)$	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_4^+(q)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)\times\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ $\mathrm{P}\Omega_6^+(q)=\mathrm{PSL}_4(q)$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^-(q)$	$n=2$	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_4^-(q)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(q^2)$ $\mathrm{P}\Omega_6^-(q)=\mathrm{PSU}_4(q)$

TABLE 1. Some information on simple classical groups

of the almost simple groups with socle T in nine classes $\mathcal{C}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{C}_8$ and $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, in what follows we use the notation, the treatment and the terminology in [14, Chapter 3 and 4].

We start with a preliminary proposition which will prove to be helful in the proof of the main result of this section. First we set some notation and some terminology.

Notation 5.1. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of size q and let $V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_t$ be a direct sum decomposition of V into t subspaces. Let H be a subgroup $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ leaving invariant each summand of this decomposition, that is, $V_i^h=V_i$ for all $h\in H$ and for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,t\}$. Let H_i be the linear group induced by H in its action on V_i. Note that H_i centralizes V_j (that is, H_i acts trivially on V_j), for each $j\neq i$. We assume that, for each $i\in\{1,\ldots,t\}$, the subspace V_i is an irreducible H_i-module. Fix i and j two distinct elements of $\{1,\ldots,t\}$. We suppose that for each $a_i\in H_i$, there exists $a_j\in H_j$ with $a_ia_j\in H$. (In particular, the element a_ia_j of H acts trivially on V_k, for each $k\neq i,j$.) Finally, we assume that for each i, the group H_i contains an element fixing no non-zero vector of V_i.

Proposition 5.2. *Let V and $V_1,\ldots,V_t$ be as in Notation 5.1. If $t\geq 3$, then $V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_t$ is the unique decomposition of V as a direct sum of irreducible H-submodules of V.*

Proof. Assume that $t\geq 3$. Let U be an irreducible H-submodule of V. We show that $U=V_i$, for some $i\in\{1,\ldots,t\}$, from which the proof follows. Let u be a non-zero element of U and write $u=u_1+\cdots+u_t$ with $u_i\in V_i$. Since $u\neq 0$, relabelling the direct summands $\{V_i\}_i$ if necessary, we may assume that $u_1\neq 0$. Using Notation 5.1, choose $a_1\in H_1$ fixing no non-zero element of V_1. From Notation 5.1, we see that there exists $a_2\in H_2$ with $a=a_1a_2\in H$. Now, as a centralizes $u_3,\ldots,u_t$, we obtain $u-u^a=(u_1-u_1^{a_1})+(u_2-u_2^{a_2})\in U\cap(V_1\oplus V_2)$. So, replacing u by $u-u^a$ if necessary, we may assume that $u=u_1+u_2\in V_1\oplus V_2$ and that $u_1\neq 0$.

Since $t\geq 3$, from Notation 5.1 we see that there exists $a_3\in H_3$ with $b=a_1a_3\in H$. Then $u-u^b=u_1-u_1^{a_1}\in U\cap V_1$. So, replacing u by $u-u^b$ if necessary, we may assume that $u\in V_1$. Since H acts irreducibly on U, we obtain $U=\langle u^h\mid h\in H\rangle\leq V_1$. As V_1 is an irreducible H-module, we have $U=V_1$. □

We observe that Proposition 5.2 does not hold for $t=2$. Consider, for instance, the group

$$H=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & 0\\ 0 & a\end{array}\right)\mid a\in\mathbb{F}_q\setminus\{0\}\right\}$$

of scalar matrices acting on the 2-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^2$. If $V_1 = (1,0)\mathbb{F}_q$ and $V_2 = (0,1)\mathbb{F}_q$, then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ is a direct decomposition that satisfies Notation 5.1 with $t = 2$ (here the group induced by H on V_i is the multiplicative group of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ acting by multiplication). Clearly, every pair of 1-dimensional subspaces of V forms an H-invariant decomposition, and hence Proposition 5.2 does not hold for $t = 2$.

Notation 5.3. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of size q. We let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ and we suppose that $G = \mathrm{SL}(V)$, or that V is endowed with a non-degenerate Hermitian, symplectic or quadratic form and $G = \mathrm{SU}(V)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ or $\Omega^\varepsilon(V)$ (with $\varepsilon \in \{\circ, +, -\}$) respectively. Write $T = G/\mathbf{Z}(G)$ and assume that T is a nonabelian simple group. Assume that T is a transitive permutation group on Ω with pseudo-maximal point stabilizer T_ω.

Let A be an almost simple group with socle T and M be a maximal subgroup of A with $T \not\subseteq M$ and with $T_\omega = M \cap T$. Suppose that M lies in the Aschbacher class $\mathcal{C}_2$, that is, M is the stabilizer in A of a direct sum decomposition $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$ of V. So, M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_m(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GU}_m(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{O}_m^\xi(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\varepsilon(q)$ (see [14, Chapter 3 and 4.2] for details and terminology).

Proposition 5.4. *Let T, Ω and M be as in Notation 5.3. If $n/m \geq 3$ and if $(T, M) \neq (\mathrm{PSL}_n(2), \mathrm{GL}_1(2) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n))$, $(\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\varepsilon, \mathrm{O}_2^+(2) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/2))$ or $(\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\varepsilon(3), \mathrm{O}_2^+(3) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/2))$, then the kernel of the T_ω-action on the V_i fixes a unique point of Ω.*

Proof. Let $\overline{H}$ be the subgroup of G leaving invariant each direct summand V_i of V, let H be the projection of $\overline{H}$ in T_ω and, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/m\}$, let $\overline{H}_i$ be the matrix group induced by $\overline{H}$ in its action on V_i. In particular, H is the kernel of the T_ω-action on the V_i. Furthermore, we have $\overline{H}_i = \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$, $\mathrm{GU}(V_i)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_m(V_i)$ and $\mathrm{O}^\xi(V_i)$ respectively. Note that $H \trianglelefteq T_\omega$. From [14, Chapter 2], we see that $\overline{H}_i$ acts irreducibly on V_i, except when $\overline{H}_i \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(q)$ and $q = 2$, or $\overline{H}_i \cong \mathrm{O}_2^+(q)$ and $q = 2, 3$. Furthermore, for each distinct i and j, and for each $a_i \in \overline{H}_i$, there exists $a_j \in \overline{H}_j$ with $a_i a_j \in \overline{H}$. Finally, for each i, if $\overline{H}_i \not\cong \mathrm{GL}_1(2)$, we see with a direct inspection that $\overline{H}_i$ contains an element fixing no non-zero vector of V_i. This shows that for $\overline{H}_i \not\cong \mathrm{GL}_1(2)$, $\mathrm{O}_2^+(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}_2^+(3)$ we are in the position to apply Proposition 5.2.

From Proposition 5.2, the group $\overline{H}$ fixes a unique direct sum decomposition of V in n/m vector spaces of dimension m. Assume that H fixes ω' and write $\omega' = \omega^g$, for some $g \in T$. Let $\overline{g} \in G$ be an element projecting to g in T. Now, $\overline{H}$ fixes the direct sum decomposition $V_1^{\overline{g}} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}^{\overline{g}}$. From Proposition 5.2, we obtain that $\overline{g}$ stabilizes the direct sum decomposition $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$. So from the maximality of M in A, we have that $g \in M \cap T = T_\omega$ and $\omega' = \omega$. □

Proof of Theorem A for the classical groups. By the results in Section 4, we may assume that T is one of: $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ for $n \geq 2$ with $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$ and, if $n = 2$, then $q \geq 7$ and $q \neq 9$; $\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$; $\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 4$ and $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$; $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$ with $n \geq 7$ and nq odd; or $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\pm(q)$ with $n \geq 8$ and n even. Write $q = p^f$ for some prime p and some $f \geq 1$. We assume that T is transitive on Ω and that, for $\omega \in \Omega$, $T_\omega = T \cap M$, where M is a maximal subgroup of some almost simple group A with socle T, and $T \not\subseteq M$.

In order to avoid a long list of exceptions in some general arguments that we use later in the proof, we first deal with the case $T = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ and we use Dickson's classification of the subgroup lattice of T (see [26, Section 3.6, Theorem 6.25, 6.26]). As above $q \geq 7$ and $q \neq 9$. If $T_\omega \cong \mathrm{Sym}(3)$, $\mathrm{Alt}(4)$, $\mathrm{Sym}(4)$ or $\mathrm{Alt}(5)$ (that is, T_ω is as in [26, Theorem 6.25 (c)]), then by direct inspection we see that $\mu(T_\omega) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4 (applied with $N = T_\omega$). Assume that M contains the stabilizer of a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$, that is, $T_\omega = M \cap T \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,r)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}(2,r)$ for $r = p^s$ with s dividing f (that is, T_ω is as in [26, Theorem 6.25 (d)]). If $r \neq 2$ or 3, then from Proposition 2.7 each set of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of T has size at most two. If $r = 2$ or 3, then we have already dealt with these cases as $\mathrm{PSL}_2(2) = \mathrm{PGL}_2(2) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(3)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(3) \cong \mathrm{Alt}(4)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_2(3) = \mathrm{Sym}(4)$.

Assume that M contains a parabolic subgroup, that is, T_ω is a Borel subgroup of T (here T_ω is as in [26, Theorem 6.25 (a)]). In particular, the action of T on Ω is permutation equivalent to the action of T on the projective line. Therefore T is 2-transitive and has only one non-trivial subdegree, namely q.

Assume that M contains the normalizer of a maximal torus of T, that is, T_ω is a dihedral group of order $2(q \pm 1)/\gcd(2, q-1)$ (here T_ω is as in [26, Theorem 6.25 (b)]). If T_ω is a 2-group, then every non-trivial subdegree of T is even. Suppose that T_ω is not a 2-group and let r be a prime with $r \mid |T_\omega|$ and $r \neq 2$. Let R be a Sylow r-subgroup of T_ω. From the description of the subgroup lattice of T in [26, Theorem 6.25, 6.26], we see that R is a Sylow r-subgroup of T and $\mathbf{N}_T(R) \leq T_\omega$. In particular, from Proposition 2.10, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r. This concludes the analysis for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$.

Now, to avoid a few more small exceptions in the general arguments below we consider separately the cases where $T = \mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_4(3)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$. In each of these groups, we see with a direct inspection with `magma` [4] or with [8] that the theorem holds true. Finally, for the remaining cases we use Aschbacher's theorem and in particular we use extensively Tables 3.5*A*–*F* in [14].

Case $M \in \mathcal{C}_1$: M is the stabilizer of totally singular or non-singular subspaces.
We first consider the case that M is of type P_m, that is, M is a maximal parabolic subgroup of A. In particular, M and, hence also T_ω, contain the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup of T. It follows from Proposition 2.10 that every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by p.

Now suppose that M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_m(q) \oplus \mathrm{GL}_{n-m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GU}_m(q) \perp \mathrm{GU}_{n-m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \perp \mathrm{Sp}_{n-m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{O}_m(q) \perp \mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon}_{n-m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon}_m(q) \perp \mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon}_{n-m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^+_n(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon}_m \perp \mathrm{O}^{-\varepsilon}_{n-m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_n(q)$. Note that, from [14, Table 3.5*A*–*F*], we take $m < n-m$ (except for $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$ and possibly for $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_n(q)$). Moreover, if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_n(q)$ and $n = 2m$, then m is even and M is of type $\mathrm{O}^+_m(q) \perp \mathrm{O}^-_m(q)$ with $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_m(q) \ncong \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_m(q)$ (see [14, Proposition 4.1.6]). With a direct inspection in each of these cases and using Table 1, we see that either (i) : each simple direct factor of $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ has multiplicity at most two and there exists a unique factor having size strictly bigger than the others, or (ii) : $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is the direct product of pairwise isomorphic simple groups, or (iii) : T_ω is soluble. Indeed, (iii) arises if and only if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ and $(n,m,q) = (3,1,2), (3,1,3)$, or $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ and $(n,m,q) = (3,1,3), (4,1,2)$, or $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$ and $(n,m,q,\varepsilon) = (7,3,3,+)$. In each of these cases, we see from [14, Proposition 4.1.4, 4.1.6] that T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group. So $\mu(T_\omega)\leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Moreover, if (i) or (ii) holds, then from [14, Proposition 4.1.3–4, 4.1.6] $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and hence the theorem follows from Proposition 2.9 or 2.7 respectively.

Now suppose that $T=\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ and that M is of type $P_{m,n-m}$. From [14, Proposition 4.1.22], we see that M contains a parabolic subgroup (not necessarily maximal) of T. Therefore T_ω contains a Borel subgroup of T and so, T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup of T. Now from Proposition 2.10, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by p.

It remains to consider the case that $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^{\pm}(q)$ and M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_{n-2}(q)$ with q even. From [14, Proposition 4.1.7], we see that $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))\cong\mathrm{PSp}_{n-2}(q)$ is simple. Therefore each set of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of T has size at most two, by Proposition 2.7.

CASE $M\in\mathcal{C}_2$: M is the stabilizer of a direct sum decomposition.
We first consider the case that M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q^2).2$ if $T=\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q).2$ if $T=\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q).2$ or $\mathrm{O}_{n/2}(q)^2$ (with $n/2\geq 5$ odd) if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{O}_{n/2}(q)^2$ (with $n/2\geq 5$ odd) if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^-(q)$. From [14, Proposition 4.2.4–5, 4.2.7, 4.2.16], we see that $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble, and that either $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))\cong S^\ell$ for some nonabelian simple group S (here $\ell=1$ or 2) or T_ω is soluble. In the former case, from Proposition 2.7, each set of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of T has size at most two. The latter case occurs only for $T=\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$, which we excluded from this analysis.

In the rest of the proof of this case we use the detailed information on the Sylow normalizers of the Lie type groups in [22, Section 5]. Given a connected reductive algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $F:\mathbf{G}\to\mathbf{G}$ the corresponding Frobenius endomorphism, we adopt the terminology in [22] for the Sylow Φ_e-tori of $\mathbf{G}$ and we refer to as Sylow $\Phi_e(q)$-tori their subgroups of fixed points (under F) in the finite Lie type group $G=\mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Furthermore, we deal with each family of classical groups separately. In fact, although the arguments are very similar in every case, there are some slight differences that can be presented neatly only by dealing with one family at a time.

THE GROUPS $T=\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$. Assume that M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_m(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ with $m\geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n=V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_{n/m}$ be the direct sum decomposition preserved by T_ω and let H be the normal subgroup of T_ω fixing every direct summand V_i, for $i\in\{1,\ldots,n/m\}$. If $m\geq 3$, or $m=2$ and $q\geq 4$, we see from [14, Proposition 4.2.9] that $\mathbf{C}_T(T_\omega)$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is isomorphic to a direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. So from Proposition 2.7, each set of pairwise coprime non-trivial subdegrees of T has size at most two. This leaves the cases $m=1$, and $(m,q)=(2,2)$ and $(2,3)$.

Assume next that $m=1$. From the structure and from the order of T_ω we see that T_ω is the normalizer of a Sylow $\Phi_1(q)$-torus S_1 of T, that is, $T_\omega=\mathbf{N}_T(S_1)$. Recall that $n\geq 3$. Let r be the largest prime dividing $q-1$. Now, if $r>3$, or if $r=2$ and $q\equiv 1 \mod 4$, then from [22, Theorems 5.14 and 5.19] we obtain that $\mathbf{N}_T(S_1)$ contains the normalizer of a Sylow r-subgroup of T. I this case every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r by Proposition 2.10. It remains to consider the case that either $q=2$, or 2 and 3 are the only primes dividing $q-1$. Assume that $q=2$. If $n\leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group, so $\mu(T_\omega)\leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n\geq 5$ and let N be the last term of the derived series of T_ω. From Lemma 3.2, the group N fixes a unique point of Ω, and the result follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. So, we may now assume that $q \neq 2$. If 3 divides $q-1$, then from [22, Theorems 5.14] we obtain that either $\mathbf{N}_T(S_1)$ contains the normalizer of a Sylow 3-subgroup of T or $n = 3$. In the former case, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by 3 from Proposition 2.10. In the latter case, as $q-1$ is only divisible by the primes 2 and 3, we have that T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Therefore, it remains to deal with the case that 2 is the only prime dividing $q-1$ and $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$, that is, $q = 3$. We do this in the following paragraph.

Assume $(m,q) = (1,3)$, $(2,2)$ or $(2,3)$. If $n/m \leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n/m \geq 5$. From Proposition 5.4, the kernel H of the T_ω-action on the direct summands V_i of V fixes a unique point of Ω. In each case H is a $\{2,3\}$-group and hence $\mu(H) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. The analysis for the remaining classical groups is very similar.

The groups $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$. Assume that M is of type $\mathrm{GU}_m(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ with $m \geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$ be the direct sum decomposition preserved by T_ω and let H be the normal subgroup of T_ω fixing every direct summand V_i, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/m\}$. If $m \geq 4$, or if $m = 3$ and $q \geq 3$, or if $m = 2$ and $q \geq 4$, we see from [14, Proposition 4.2.9] that $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is isomorphic to the direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. So from Proposition 2.7, T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees. We now consider the remaining cases, namely, $m = 1$ and $(m,q) = (2,2), (2,3)$ and $(3,2)$.

Assume that $m = 1$. Now the order of $\mathrm{GU}_1(q)$ is divisible by $q+1$ and so T_ω is the normalizer of a Sylow $\Phi_2(q)$-torus S_2 of T. Set $r = 2$ if $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$, or choose the largest prime $r > 2$ dividing $q+1$ if $q \not\equiv 3 \mod 4$. From [22, Theorem 5.14, 5.19], we have that either T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow r-subgroup of T (and hence every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r from Proposition 2.10) or $n = 3$ and $r = 3$. In the latter case, by our choice of r, the only primes dividing $q+1$ are 2 and 3. Since $n = 3$, we obtain that T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group and by Lemma 2.4, T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

Assume that $(m,q) = (2,2)$, $(2,3)$ or $(3,2)$. If $n/m \leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n/m \geq 5$. From Proposition 5.4, the group H fixes a unique point of Ω. As H is a $\{2,3\}$-group, we obtain $\mu(H) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

The groups $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$. Assume that M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ with $m \geq 2$ even. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$ be the direct sum decomposition preserved by T_ω and let H be the normal subgroup of T_ω fixing every direct summand V_i, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/m\}$. If $m \geq 4$, or if $m = 2$ and $q \geq 4$, we see from [14, Proposition 4.2.10] that $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is isomorphic to a direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. So from Proposition 2.7, T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees. We now consider the remaining cases.

Assume that $(m,q) = (2,2)$ or $(2,3)$. If $n/m \leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group and hence the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n/m \geq 5$. From Proposition 5.4, the group H fixes a unique point of Ω. As H is a $\{2,3\}$-group, we obtain $\mu(H) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

The groups $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$ (n odd). Assume that M is of type $\mathrm{O}_m(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ with $m \geq 1$ (where $q = p \geq 3$ if $m = 1$). Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$ be the direct sum decomposition preserved by T_ω and let H be the normal subgroup of T_ω fixing every direct summand V_i, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/m\}$. If $m \geq 5$, or if $m = 3$ and $q \neq 3$, we see from [14, Proposition 4.2.12] that $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is isomorphic to a direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. So from Proposition 2.7, T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees. We now consider the remaining cases.

Assume $m = 1$ or $(m, q) = (3, 3)$. Note that $\mathrm{O}_1(q)$ has order 2 and is generated by -1. If $n/m \leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2, 3\}$-group and hence the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n/m \geq 5$. From Proposition 5.4, the group H fixes a unique point of Ω. As H is a $\{2, 3\}$-group, we obtain $\mu(H) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

The groups $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$ (n even). Assume that M is of type $\mathrm{O}_m^\varepsilon(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ with $\varepsilon \in \{\circ, +, -\}$ (where $\varepsilon^{n/m} = +$ if m is even) and with $q = p \geq 3$ if $m = 1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$ be the direct sum decomposition preserved by T_ω and let H be the normal subgroup of T_ω fixing every direct summand V_i, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/m\}$. If $m \geq 5$, or if $m = 4$ and $q \geq 4$, or if $m = 4$ and $\varepsilon = -$, or if $m = 3$ and $q \neq 3$, we see from [14, Proposition 4.2.11, 4.2.14] that $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is isomorphic to a direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. So from Proposition 2.7, T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees. We now consider the remaining cases.

Assume that $m = 1$, or $(m, q, \varepsilon) = (3, 3, \circ)$, $(4, 2, +)$ or $(4, 3, +)$ (recall from [14, Table 4.2A] that if $m = 1$ then $q = p \geq 3$). In each of these cases, H is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. If $n/m \leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2, 3\}$-group and hence the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n/m \geq 5$. From Proposition 5.4, the group H fixes a unique point of Ω, $\mu(H) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

Assume $m = 2$. Note that if $\varepsilon = -$, then $n/2$ is even because $\varepsilon^{n/2} = +$. Now, $\mathrm{O}_2^+(q)$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$, and $\mathrm{O}_2^-(q)$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q + 1)$. The largest power of the polynomial $x - 1$ dividing the generic order of $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+$ is $n/2$. Similarly, if $n/2$ is even, the largest power of the polynomial $x + 1$ dividing the generic order of $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+$ is $n/2$. Therefore, considering the structure of T_ω and its order, we obtain that T_ω is the normalizer of a $\Phi_1(q)$-torus S_1 of T if $\varepsilon = +$ and is the normalizer of a $\Phi_2(q)$-torus S_2 of T if $\varepsilon = -$. Assume first that $\varepsilon = -$. Set $r = 2$ if $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$, or choose a prime r dividing $q + 1$ and coprime to $q - 1$ if $q \not\equiv 3 \mod 4$. From [22, Theorem 5.14, 5.19], T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow r-subgroup of T. In this case every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r by Proposition 2.10. Assume now that $\varepsilon = +$. Then each V_i is a hyperbolic plane for its stabilizer $M_i \cong \mathrm{O}_2^+(q)$ in M. As any hyperbolic plane contains exactly two isotropic lines, then M is the stabilizer in A of a decomposition of V in 1-dimensional spaces. So we are back to the case $m = 1$, which has already been considered.

The groups $T = \Omega_n^-(q)$ (n even). Assume that M is of type $\mathrm{O}_m^\varepsilon(q) \,\mathrm{wr}\, \mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ with $\varepsilon \in \{\circ, -\}$ and with $q = p \geq 3$ if $m = 1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^n = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n/m}$ be the direct sum decomposition preserved by T_ω and let H be the normal subgroup of T_ω fixing every direct summand V_i, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/m\}$. If $m \geq 4$, or if $m = 3$ and $q \neq 3$, we see from [14, Proposition 4.2.11, 4.2.14] that $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is isomorphic to a direct product of pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. So from Proposition 2.7, T has at most 2 non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

Assume that $m = 1$ or $(m, q) = (3, 3)$ (recall that if $m = 1$ then $q = p \geq 3$). In each of these cases, H is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. If $n/m \leq 4$, then T_ω is a $\{2, 3\}$-group and hence the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $n/m \geq 5$. From Proposition 5.4, the group H fixes a unique point of Ω, $\mu(H) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

Assume that $m = 2$. Note that from [14, Table 3.5F], $n/2$ is odd. Now, $\mathrm{O}_2^-(q)$ has order divisible by $q + 1$. Since $n/2$ is odd, the largest power of the polynomial $x+1$ dividing the generic order of $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^-$ is $n/2$. Therefore, considering the structure of T_ω and its order, we obtain that T_ω is the normalizer of a $\Phi_2(q)$-torus of T. Set $r = 2$ if $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$, or choose a prime r dividing $q + 1$ and coprime to $q - 1$ if $q \not\equiv 3 \mod 4$. From [22, Theorem 5.14, 5.19], T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow r-subgroup of T. So every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r from Proposition 2.10.

Case $M \in \mathcal{C}_3$: M is the stabilizer of a structure on V as an n/r-dimensional space over an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of prime index r.
From [14, Tables 3.5A–F], we see that M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_m(q^r)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GU}_m(q^r)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q^r)$ or $\mathrm{GU}_{n/2}(q)$ (with q odd) if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{O}_{n/r}(q^r)$ (with $n/r \geq 3$) if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GU}_{n/2}(q)$, $\mathrm{O}^+_{n/r}(q^r)$ (with $n/r \geq 4$), or $\mathrm{O}_{n/2}(q^2)$ (with $nq/2$ odd) if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^+_n(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{GU}_{n/2}(q)$, $\mathrm{O}^-_{n/r}(q^r)$ (with $n/r \geq 4$), or $\mathrm{O}_{n/2}(q^2)$ (with $nq/2$ odd) if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_n(q)$. From [14, Section 4.3], the group $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble. Furthermore, in each of the cases, considering the restrictions on n, q and r that we have given above, we see from Table 1 that either T_ω is soluble or $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) \cong S^\ell$ for some nonabelian simple group S (where $\ell = 1$, or $\ell = 2$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{4r}(q)$ and M is of type $\mathrm{O}^+_4(q^r)$). In the latter case, the theorem follows from Proposition 2.7. Assume now that T_ω is soluble. Since we are excluding $T = \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$, with a direct inspection we see that $T = \mathrm{PSL}_r(q)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_r(q)$, and in particular that $r \geq 3$.

From [14, Proposition 4.3.6], the group T_ω is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_r$ with $a = (q^r - \varepsilon)/((q - \varepsilon)\gcd(q - \varepsilon, r))$ (here $\varepsilon = 1$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_r(q)$ and $\varepsilon = -1$ if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_r(q)$). In particular, T_ω is the normalizer of a $\Phi_1(q)$-torus of T if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_r(q)$ and is the normalizer of a $\Phi_2(q)$-torus of T if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_r(q)$. From Zsigmondy's theorem, we see that there exists a prime s dividing $q^r - \varepsilon$ and coprime to $q^i - \varepsilon$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ (note that $r \geq 3$ is prime and that we are excluding $\mathrm{PSU}_3(2)$ since it is soluble). Clearly, $s \geq 3$. Moreover if 3 divides $q^r - \epsilon$, then $q - \epsilon \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ if $\epsilon = -$, and $q^2 - \epsilon \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ if $\epsilon = +$; since $r \geq 3$, this implies that $s \neq 3$. Thus $s > 3$. From [22, Theorem 5.14], we obtain that T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow s-subgroup of T, and hence every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r by Proposition 2.10.

Case $M \in \mathcal{C}_4$: M is the stabilizer of a tensor product decomposition.
From [14, Section 3.5], we get that M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{GL}_{n/m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ (with $n \neq m^2$), of type $\mathrm{GU}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{GU}_{n/m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ (with $n \neq m^2$), of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}^\varepsilon_{n/m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{O}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}_{n/m}(q)$ (with $n \neq m^2$) if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{Sp}_{n/m}(q)$ (with $n \neq m^2$) or $\mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon_1}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon_2}_{n/m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^+_n(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{O}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}^-_{n/m}(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_n(q)$. Note that if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, then q is odd (see [14, Table 3.5C]). With a direct inspection (using [14, Proposition 4.4.10–12, 4.4.14, 4.4.17, 4.4.18]) we see that $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble. We claim that either (i) : T_ω is soluble, or (ii) : $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is

a direct product of (at least one) isomorphic simple groups, or (iii) : each simple direct factor of $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ has multiplicity one, or (iv) : $T = \mathrm{PSp}_{4m}(q)$ and M is of type $\mathrm{PSp}_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{SL}_2(q) \otimes \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$, or $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^+_{4m}(q)$ and M is of type $\mathrm{O}^\varepsilon_m(q) \otimes \mathrm{SL}_2(q) \otimes \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$.

As usual, (i) occurs only for small values of n and q. (Recall that q is odd if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, and $m, n/m \geq 4$ if $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = +$ and $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^+_n(q)$ [14, Proposition 4.14].) Namely, (i) arises when $n = 6$, $q = 2$ and $T = \mathrm{PSU}_6(2)$, when $n = 6, 8$, $q = 3$ and $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_2(3) \otimes \mathrm{O}_3(3)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_2(3) \otimes \mathrm{O}^+_4(3)$), and when $n = 12$, $q = 3$ and $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^+_n(q)$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{O}_3(3) \otimes \mathrm{O}^+_4(3)$). In each of these cases, from [14, Proposition 4.4.10, 4.4.14, 4.4.17] we see that M is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. Thence $\mu(T_\omega) \leq 2$ and the theorem follows from Lemma 2.4.

Now we consider (ii). Again this occurs in a small list of cases, typically when one of the two central factors in the type of M is soluble. Namely, (ii) arises for $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ when $m = 2$, $q = 2, 3$; for $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ when $(m, q) = (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)$; for $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ when $m = 2$ and $q = 3$, or $n = 3m$ and $q = 3$, or $n = 4m$ and $q = 3$, or $n = 20$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_4(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}_5(q)$), or $n = 6$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}_3(q)$), or $n = 8$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}^+_4(q)$); for $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}_n(q)$ when $m = 3$, $q = 3$ (recall that $n \neq m^2$); for $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^+_n(q)$ when $m = 2$ and $q = 2, 3$, or $m = 3$ and $q = 3$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{O}_3(q) \otimes \mathrm{O}^{\varepsilon_2}_{n/3}(q)$), or $m = 4$ and $q = 3$ (here M is of type $\mathrm{O}_4(q)^+ \otimes \mathrm{O}^\varepsilon_{n/4}(q)$); for $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^-_n(q)$ when $m = 3$, $q = 3$. In each of these cases, from Proposition 2.7 the result follows.

Now, if $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is as in (iii), then from Proposition 2.9 the group T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

Finally, with a direct inspection on the type of M, we see that if (i), (ii) and (iii) do not hold, then both central factors of M are insoluble and one of the two is the central product of smaller quasisimple groups. From Table 1, this happens only when $\mathrm{O}^+_4(q)$ is one of the central factors of M. Now from [14, Table 3.5$A - F$], we see that either $T = \mathrm{PSp}_{4m}(q)$ or $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^+_{4m}(q)$ and our claim is proved. In (iv) we may use Proposition 2.9 to conclude that T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

CASE $M \in \mathcal{C}_5$: M is the stabilizer of a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of prime index r.
From [14, Section 3.5], M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_n(q^{1/r})$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{GU}_n(q^{1/r})$, $\mathrm{O}^\varepsilon_n(q)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}_n(q)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{Sp}_n(q^{1/r})$ if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{O}_n(q^{1/r})$ if $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}_n(q)$, of type $\mathrm{O}^+_n(q^{1/r})$ or $\mathrm{O}^-_n(q^{-1})$ if $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^+_n(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{O}^-_n(q^{1/r})$ if $T = \mathrm{P\Omega}^-_n(q)$. Since we are excluding the cases $T = \mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}_4(3)$, the group $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is either simple, or a direct product of two isomorphic simple groups (which occurs when $T = \mathrm{PSU}_4(q)$ and M is of type $\mathrm{O}^+_4(q)$), or $T = \mathrm{PSU}_3(2^r)$. In the third case, we see from [14, Proposition 4.5.3 (II)], that M is a $\{2, 3\}$-group, and then $\mu(T_\omega) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. In the remaining cases, from [14, Proposition 4.5.3–6, 4.5.8, 4.5.10], we see that $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and so, from Proposition 2.7, T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

CASE $M \in \mathcal{C}_6$: M is the normalizer of an extraspecial r-group in an absolutely irreducible representation.
From [14, Section 3.5], the group M is of type $r^{2m}\,\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(r)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ or $T = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ (with $n = r^m$), of type $2^{1+2m}\,\mathrm{O}^-_{2m}(2)$ if $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ (with $n = 2^m$), and

of type $2_+^{1+2m}\,\mathrm{O}_{2m}^+(2)$ if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$ (with $n=2^m$). From [14, Proposition 4.6.5–6, 4.6.8–9], we see that $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble. Furthermore, since we are excluding the group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ (which we studied in the first part of the proof), from Table 1 we have that T_ω is soluble if and only if $T=\mathrm{PSL}_3(q),\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$. (Recall that $n\geq 4$ if $T=\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ and $n\geq 8$ if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$.) If $T=\mathrm{PSL}_3(q)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$, then with a direct inspection of the structure of M described in [14, Proposition 4.6.5–6], we see that M is a $\{2,3\}$-group and so $\mu(T_\omega)\leq 2$. Hence from Lemma 2.4 the group T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

It remains to consider the case that M is insoluble. Let N be the last term of the derived series of M. Since M/T_ω is soluble, we have $N\leq T_\omega$. Furthermore, from the group structure of M, the group N contains a characteristic r-subgroup R with $N/R\cong\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(r)$ if $T=\mathrm{PSL}_n(q),\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, with $N/R\cong(\mathrm{O}_{2m}^-(2))'$ if $T=\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, and with $N/R\cong(\mathrm{O}_{2m}^+(2))'$ if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$.

From Lemma 3.2, the group N fixes only the point ω of Ω. We show that $\mu(N)\leq 2$, from which the theorem follows (in this case) from Lemma 2.4. From Lemma 3.1, we have $\mu(N)\leq 3$. If $\mu(N)\leq 2$, then the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $\mu(N)=3$ and let A_1,A_2,A_3 be three maximal subgroups of N having pairwise relatively prime indices in N. Let U be the normal subgroup of N with $R\leq U$ and with N/U simple (that is, $U/R=\mathbf{Z}(N/R)$). From Lemma 3.1, relabelling the A_i if necessary, we have that r divides $|N:A_3|$ and that $N=(A_1/U)(A_2/U)$ is a maximal factorization of the simple group N/U with $\gcd(|N:A_1|,|N:A_2|)=1$. Therefore $(N/U,A_1/U,A_2/U)$ is one of the triples in [10, Table 1]. Suppose that $T=\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$, that is, $N/U\cong\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(r)$. From [10, Table 1], we see that r divides $|N:A_1|$ or $|N:A_2|$, contradicting the fact that $|N:A_3|$ is coprime with $|N:A_1|$ and with $|N:A_2|$. Now suppose that $T=\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$, that is, $N/U\cong\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(2)$. (Recall that $r=2$.) From [10, Table 1] we see that $\mathrm{P}\Omega_4^-(2)=\mathrm{PSL}_2(4)$ and $\mathrm{P}\Omega_6^-(2)=\mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$ are the only orthogonal groups $\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^-(2)$ admitting a coprime factorization. Furthermore, 2 divides $|N:A_1|$ or $|N:A_2|$, a contradiction. Finally suppose that $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$, that is, $N/U\cong\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m}^+(2)$. From [10, Table 1], we see that 2 divides $|N:A_1|$ or $|N:A_2|$, again a contradiction because $r=2$.

Case $M\in\mathcal{C}_7$: M is the stabilizer of a homogeneous tensor decomposition of V. From [14, Section 3.5], we have that the group M is of type $\mathrm{GL}_m(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ if $T=\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ (with $m\geq 3$), of type $\mathrm{GU}_m(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ if $T=\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ (with $m\geq 3$ and $(m,q)\neq(3,2)$), of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ if $T=\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ (with qt odd, $m\geq 2$ and $(m,q)\neq(2,3)$), of type $\mathrm{O}_m(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(n/m)$ if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n(q)$ (with $m\geq 3$ and $(m,q)\neq(3,3)$), of type $\mathrm{Sp}_m(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ (with $m\geq 2$ and $(m,q)\neq(2,2),(2,3)$) or $\mathrm{O}_m^\varepsilon(q)\,\mathrm{wr}\,\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ (with q odd, and $m\geq 6$ if $\varepsilon=+$ and $m\geq 4$ if $\varepsilon=-$) if $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$. In particular, from Table 1 we see that $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is a direct product of isomorphic simple groups. Furthermore, from [14, Proposition 4.7.3–5, 4.7.6–8] we see that $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble. Now as usual from Proposition 2.7, we obtain that T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees.

Case $M\in\mathcal{C}_8$: M is a classical subgroup.
From [14, Section 3.5], we see that the group M is of type $\mathrm{Sp}_n(q)$, $\mathrm{O}_n^\varepsilon(q)$ or $\mathrm{SU}_n(q^{1/2})$ if $T=\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, and of type $\mathrm{O}_n^\pm(q)$ if $T=\mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$ and q is even.

Since we are excluding the cases $T=\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_4(2)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_4(3)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_4(2)$, the group $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is either simple or a direct product of two isomorphic simple groups (in fact, the latter case occurs when $T=\mathrm{PSL}_4(q)$ or

$\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ and M is of type $\mathrm{O}_4^+(q)$). From [14, Proposition 4.8.3–6], we see that $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and so, from Proposition 2.7, T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees on Ω.

CASE $M \in \mathcal{S}$.
Since M/T_ω is soluble, we have $\mathbf{E}(M) = \mathbf{E}(T_\omega)$. From the definition of the class $\mathcal{S}$ in [14, Chapter 1], we have that $\mathbf{E}(M)$ is a nonabelian simple group and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Aut}(T)}(\mathbf{E}(M)) = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)$ is simple and $\mathbf{C}_T(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) = 1$. In particular, from Proposition 2.7, T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees on Ω. The proof of Conjecture A' for finite classical groups is now complete. □

6. EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

Proof of Theorem A for the exceptional groups of Lie type. Write $q = p^f$ for some prime p and some $f \geq 1$. The group T is one of the following exceptional simple groups: $\mathrm{F}_4(q)$, $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ (with $q > 2$), $\mathrm{E}_6(q)$, $\mathrm{E}_7(q)$, $\mathrm{E}_8(q)$, ${}^2\mathrm{B}_2(q)$ (with $p = 2$ and $f = 2f' + 1$, where $f' \geq 1$), ${}^3\mathrm{D}_4(q)$, ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ (with $p = 3$ and $f = 2f' + 1$, where $f' \geq 1$), ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(q)$ (with $p = 2$ and $f \geq 2$) and ${}^2\mathrm{E}_6(q)$. The group ${}^2F_4(2)$ is not simple and the Tits group ${}^2F_4(2)'$ will be considered in Section 7 together with the sporadic simple groups.

For the proof of this result we use [19]. Liebeck and Seitz [19, Theorem 2] give a reduction theorem to describe the maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups (and their automorphism groups) similar to the well-known result of Aschbacher [2] for the finite classical groups. They show that M is either in one of five well specified families listed in [19, Theorem 2 (a)–(e)] or is contained in the automorphism group of a finite simple group. In the latter case, as M/T_ω is soluble, the group $\mathbf{F}^*(T_\omega)$ is simple and the theorem follows from Remark 3.3 and Proposition 2.7. This shows that in the rest of this proof we may assume that M is in one of the five families described in [19, Theorem 2 (a)–(e)].

THE GROUP M IS AS IN [19, Theorem 2 (a)].
In this case, $M = \mathbf{N}_A(D)$, where D is either a parabolic subgroup of T or D is given in [20, Theorem, Table 5.1 and 5.2]. In the former case, T_ω contains a parabolic subgroup of T and hence a Borel subgroup of T. In particular, T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup of T and the theorem follows from Proposition 2.10.

Assume that D is as in [20, Table 5.1]. Now the structure of T_ω is described in the second column of [20, Table 5.1]. With a direct inspection we see that in each case $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and either (i): $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is the direct product of pairwise isomorphic simple groups, or (ii): $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is the direct product of simple groups having multiplicity at most 3 and with a unique factor of largest order, or (iii): $T = \mathrm{G}_2(3)$ and T_ω is of type $2.(L_2(3) \times L_2(3)).2$, or (iv): $T = \mathrm{E}_7(3)$ and T_ω is of type $2^3.(L_2(3))^7.2^4.L_3(2)$, or (v): $T = \mathrm{E}_8(3)$ and T_ω is of type $2^4.(L_2(3))^8.2^4.\mathrm{AGL}_3(2)$ (here we are using the notation in [20, Table 5.1]). In particular, in (i) and (ii) the theorem follows from Proposition 2.7 and 2.9 respectively. In (iii), we see that T_ω is a $\{2,3\}$-group, $\mu(T_\omega) \leq 2$ and the result follows from Lemma 2.4. Now assume that T and T_ω are as in (iv) or (v). Then T_ω contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of T. As the Sylow 2-subgroups of $T = \mathrm{E}_7(3)$ and $T = \mathrm{E}_8(3)$ are self-normalizing (see [16, Theorem 6] or [15, Corollary]), then we are done by Propositon 2.10.

Assume that D is as in [20, Table 5.2]. Suppose that T is not a Suzuki group or a Ree group, that is, T is not ${}^2\mathrm{B}_2(q)$, ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(q)$ or ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(q)$. Then with a direct inspection on the order of T and on [20, Table 5.2], we see that T_ω is the normalizer of a Sylow $\Phi_e(q)$-torus of T, for some e. For instance, in the last row of [20, Table 5.2], we have that $T = \mathrm{E}_8(q)$ and $T_\omega = T \cap \mathbf{N}_A(D)$ where D is a torus of T of order $(q^2 - q + 1)^4$. In particular, since $\Phi_6(q) = q^2 - q + 1$ and since 4 is the largest power of the polynomial $x^2 - x + 1$ dividing the generic order of E_8, we obtain that D is a $\Phi_6(q)$-torus of $\mathrm{E}_8(q)$. Suppose that $q^e - 1$ has a primitive prime divisor r with $r \geq 3$. It follows from [22, Theorem 5.14] that either T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow r-subgroup of T, or $T = \mathrm{G}_2(q)$, $r = 3$ and $q \equiv 2, 4, 5,$ or $7 \mod 9$. In the former case, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r, by Proposition 2.10. For the latter case, we note that in [20, Table 5.2] we have $q = 3^f$ if $T = \mathrm{G}_2(q)$. Hence 3 does not divide $q^e - 1$ and the latter case does not arise. It remains to consider the case that either $q^e - 1$ has no primitive prime divisors, or 2 is the only primitive prime divisor of $q^e - 1$. Clearly, this happens if and only if $e = 2$ and $q + 1$ is a power of 2, or $(e, q) = (6, 2)$, or $e = 1$ and $q - 1$ is a power of 2. Suppose that $e = 1$ and $q \equiv 1 \mod 4$, or $e = 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$. It follows from [22, Theorem 5.19] that T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow 2-subgroup of T and hence, from Proposition 2.10, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by 2. Therefore, it remains to consider the case that $(e, q) = (6, 2)$ or $(1, 3)$. Suppose $(e, q) = (1, 3)$. A direct inspection in [20, Table 5.2] shows that if D is a $\Phi_1(q)$-torus of T, then $q > 3$ (see the "Condition" column in [20, Table 5.2]). Suppose that $(e, q) = (6, 2)$. Again a direct inspection in [20, Table 5.2] shows that if D is a $\Phi_6(q)$-torus of T (that is, D has order a power of $q^2 - q + 1$), then $q = 2$ is permitted only if $T = {}^3\mathrm{D}_4(q)$ (see the "Condition" column in [20, Table 5.2]). Now, if $T = {}^3\mathrm{D}_4(q)$, $q = 2$ and T_ω is the normalizer of a $\Phi_6(q)$-torus of T, then from [20, Table 5.2] we see that T_ω is a $\{2, 3\}$-group and the theorem follows from Lemma 2.4.

Suppose that T is a Suzuki group or a Ree group. Malle in [22, Section 8] investigates the Sylow normalizers of T. We use the notation and the terminology from [22, Section 8]. Then with a direct inspection of the order of T and of [20, Table 5.2], we see that T_ω is the normalizer of a Sylow $\Phi^{(r)}(q)$-torus of T, for a suitable prime r different from the defining characteristic of T. It follows from [22, Theorem 8.4] that either (i) : T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow r-subgroup of T, or (ii) : $T = {}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3^{2f+1})$, $r = 2$ and D is the torus of size $q + 1$, or (iii) : $T = {}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2^{2f+1})$, $r = 3$, D is the torus of size $(q + 1)^2$ and $2^{2f+1} \equiv 2, 5 \mod 9$. In (i), every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by r, by Proposition 2.10. Suppose that (ii) holds. We may assume that 2 is the only prime dividing $q + 1$ (otherwise we may apply [22, Theorem 8.4] to a prime $r' \neq 2$ dividing $q+1$ and we obtain that T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow r'-subgroup). Now, as $q = 3^{2f+1}$, we have that $q + 1$ is a power of 2 only if $f = 0$, that is, $T = {}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3)$ (which we excluded from our analysis). Finally assume that (iii) holds. Here we have $\Phi^{(r)}(q) = q + 1$. Also, again arguing as in (ii) we may assume that $q + 1$ is a power of 3. Now, [20, Table 5.1] shows that T_ω is a $\{2, 3\}$-group and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

THE GROUP M IS AS IN [19, Theorem 2 (b)].

We have $M = \mathbf{N}_A(E)$, where E is the elementary abelian r-group given in [7, Theorem 1 (II)] (here $r \neq p$). We have $T_\omega = \mathbf{N}_T(E)$. The pair (T, E) and the structure of $\mathbf{C}_T(E)$ and of $\mathbf{N}_T(E)$ are as in [7, Table 1]. We have nine rows to consider. If (T, E) is in the 5th, 8th or 9th row of [7, Table 1], then $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)$ is simple, $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and the result follows from Proposition 2.7. Assume that (T, E) is in the 2nd row of [7, Table 1], that is, $T = {}^2\,\mathrm{G}_2(3)'$. As $T \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(8)$, the proof in this case was given in Section 5.

Finally, suppose that (T, E) is one of the remaining cases: 1st, 3rd, 4th, 6th or 7th row of [7, Table 1]. With a direct inspection we see that T_ω contains a normal r-subgroup R with T_ω/R a simple group (note that $\mathrm{SL}_3(2), \mathrm{SL}_3(3), \mathrm{SL}_5(2)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_3(5)$ are simple). We claim that $\mu(T_\omega) \leq 2$, from which the theorem follows from Lemma 2.4. We argue by contradiction and we assume that $\mu(T_\omega) \geq 3$ and let $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ be three maximal subgroups of T_ω having pairwise relatively prime index in T_ω. From Lemma 3.1, relabelling the A_i if necessary, r divides $|T_\omega : A_3|$ and $T_\omega/R = (A_1/R)(A_1/R)$ is a maximal coprime factorization of T_ω/R (here note that $\mathbf{Z}(T_\omega/R) = 1$ because T_ω/R is simple). Therefore $(T_\omega/R, A_1/R, A_2/R)$ is one of the triples in [10, Table 1]. A direct inspection of T_ω/R, of r and of the maximal coprime factorizations of T_ω/U in [10, Table 1], shows that r divides either $|T_\omega : A_1|$ or $|T_\omega : A_2|$, a contradiction.

THE GROUP M IS AS IN [19, Theorem 2 (*c*)].
Here M is the centralizer of a graph, field, or graph-field automorphism of T of prime order r (see [12, Definition 2.5.13] for a definition of these terms). In this case, the structure of M is described in [12, Section 4.4]. Here we use the notation in [12]. Write $T = {}^d\Sigma(q)$, where Σ is the Lie type of T, q is the characteristic and $d = 1, 2, 3$. We first consider the case that M is the centralizer of a field automorphism x. Recall that ${}^2B_2(2) \cong 5 : 4$. From [12, Proposition 4.9.1], we have that $\mathbf{E}(M)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(M)) \cong {}^d\Sigma(q^{1/r})$. Since $\Sigma \in \{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}\}$, we obtain that $\mathbf{E}(M)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(M))$ and hence $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is simple except for $T = {}^2\,\mathrm{B}(2^r)$. Furthermore, from [12, Chapter 4], the group $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble. Therefore, if $T \neq {}^2\,\mathrm{B}_2(2^r)$, the result follows from Proposition 2.7. If $T = {}^2\,\mathrm{B}_2(2^r)$, then $M \cong (5 : 4) \times r$, $T_\omega \cong 5 : 4$, T_ω is a $\{2, 5\}$-group and the result follows from Lemma 2.4.

Assume that x is a graph-field automorphism. Recall that from [12, Definition 2.5.13], we have $T = \mathrm{G}_2(q)$, $\mathrm{F}_4(q)$ or $\mathrm{E}_6(q)$. From [12, Proposition 4.9.1], we have $d = 1$, $r = 2, 3$ and $\mathbf{E}(M)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(M)) \cong {}^r\Sigma(q^{1/r})$. In particular, $\mathbf{E}(M)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(M))$ is simple. Furthermore, from [12, Chapter 4], the group $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble and so the result follows from Proposition 2.7.

If remains to study the case that x is a graph automorphism. Recall that from [12, Definition 2.5.13 (*b*), (*d*)] the groups ${}^2\,\mathrm{B}_2(q)$, ${}^2\,\mathrm{F}_4(q)$, ${}^2\,\mathrm{G}_2(q)$, $\mathrm{F}_4(q)$ and $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ do not admit graph automorphisms. In particular, $T = \mathrm{E}_6(q)$, ${}^2\,\mathrm{E}_6(q)$ or ${}^3\,\mathrm{D}_4(q)$. We consider separately $T = {}^3\,\mathrm{D}_4(2)$ and we use [8]. With a direct inspection on the maximal subgroups of T, we see that either T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow subgroup of T (and hence the theorem follows from Proposition 2.10), or $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)$ is simple and $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is soluble (and hence the theorem follows from Proposition 2.7), or T_ω is a $\{2, 3\}$-group (and hence the theorem follows from Lemma 2.4). Now we continue the proof for the remaining groups. Note that from [12, Sections 4.5, 4.7 and 4.9] the group $\mathbf{C}_{T_\omega}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega))$ is always soluble. From [12, Proposition 4.9.2 (*b*)], we see that for $T = \mathrm{E}_6(q)$ or ${}^2\,\mathrm{E}_6(q)$ we have $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) \cong \mathrm{F}_4(q)$ if $p = r = 2$, and for $T = \mathrm{D}_4(q)$ or ${}^3\,\mathrm{D}_4(q)$ we have $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ if $p = 3$. Moreover, from [12, Tables 4.5.1 and 4.7.3*A*], we see that for $T = \mathrm{E}_6(q)$ or ${}^2\,\mathrm{E}_6(q)$ we have $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) \cong \mathrm{F}_4(q)$ or $\mathrm{C}_4(q)$ if $p \neq 2$

(depending on the conjugacy class of x), for $T = \mathrm{D}_4(q)$ we have $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ if $p \neq 3$, and for ${}^3\mathrm{D}_4(q)$ we have $\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)/\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}(T_\omega)) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_3(q)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$ (depending whether $q \equiv 1 \mod 3$ or $q \equiv -1 \mod 3$ respectively). In particular, in each of these cases (as we are excluding ${}^3\mathrm{D}_4(2)$) we may use Proposition 2.7 and the theorem follows.

THE GROUP M IS AS IN [19, Theorem 2 (d)].
In this case, $T = \mathrm{E}_8(q)$, $p > 5$ and $\mathbf{F}^*(M) = \mathrm{Alt}(5) \times \mathrm{Alt}(6)$ or $\mathrm{Alt}(5) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$. Since M/T_ω is soluble, we have $\mathbf{F}^*(M) = \mathbf{F}^*(T_\omega)$ and hence the theorem follows from Proposition 2.9.

THE GROUP M IS AS IN [19, Theorem 2 (e)].
In this case, $\mathbf{F}^*(M) = \mathbf{F}^*(T_\omega)$ is described in detail in [19, Table III]. With a direct inspection, we see that either $\mathbf{F}^*(T_\omega)$ is the direct product of two nonabelian simple groups, or $T = \mathrm{E}_8(q)$ and $\mathbf{F}^*(T_\omega) = \mathbf{E}(T_\omega) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q) \times \mathrm{G}_2(q) \times \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ with $p > 2$ and $q > 3$. In the former case, the theorem follows from Proposition 2.7 (if the two simple groups are isomorphic) or Proposition 2.9 (if the two simple groups are non-isomorphic).

Suppose that $T = \mathrm{E}_8(q)$ and write $N = \mathbf{F}^*(T_\omega) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q) \times \mathrm{G}_2(q) \times \mathrm{G}_2(q)$. Since T_ω/N is soluble, the group N is clearly the last term of the derived series of T_ω. From Lemma 3.2 N fixes only the point ω of Ω. We claim that $\mu(N) \leq 2$. Conjecture A' will follow from this claim and Lemma 2.4.

It remains to prove that $\mu(N) \leq 2$. Write $N = S_1 \times S_2 \times S_3$ with $S_1 \cong S_2 \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$ and $S_3 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$. We see from [10, Table 1] that $\mu(\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)) \leq 2$ and that, for any two maximal subgroups M_1 and M_2 of $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$, the indices $|\mathrm{G}_2(q) : M_1|$ and $|\mathrm{G}_2(q) : M_2|$ are divisible by a non-trivial common factor. Suppose now that A_1, A_2, A_3 are maximal subgroups of N of pairwise coprime indices. If A_3, say, projects onto each of the three simple direct factors $\{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$ of N, then $A_3 = D \times S_3$ where D is a diagonal subgroup of $S_1 \times S_2 \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q) \times \mathrm{G}_2(q)$. As $|\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)|$ divides $|\mathrm{G}_2(q)|$, this implies that $|N : A_3|$ is divisible by the orders of each of the simple direct factors, a contradiction. If A_2 and A_3, say, do not project onto S_1, then by maximality we have $A_2 = B \times S_2 \times S_3$ and $A_3 = C \times S_2 \times S_3$, where B and C are proper subgroups of S_1. Since $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ has no two maximal subgroups of pairwise coprime index, we obtain a contradiction. A similar argument applies for S_2. Hence, since $\mu(\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)) = 2$, relabelling the index set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ if necessary, we have that either $A_1 = B_1 \times S_2 \times S_3$, $A_2 = S_1 \times B_2 \times S_3$ and $A_3 = S_1 \times S_2 \times B_3$ (where, for each i, B_i is a maximal subgroup of S_i), or $A_1 = B_1 \times S_2 \times S_3$, $A_2 = S_1 \times S_2 \times B_3$ and $A_3 = S_1 \times S_2 \times B_3'$ (where B_1 is a maximal subgroup of S_1 and B_3, B_3' are maximal subgroup of S_3). In the former case, as $\mathrm{G}_2(q)$ has no two maximal subgroups of pairwise coprime index, $|N : A_1| = |S_1 : B_1|$ and $|N : A_2| = |S_2 : B_2|$ are divisible by a non-trivial common factor, a contradiction. We now consider the latter case. If the characteristic p divides $|N : A_3|$, then $|N : A_1|$ and $|N : A_2|$ are coprime to q implying that B_3, B_3' are parabolic subgroups of $S_3 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, a contradiction. Hence p is coprime with $|N : A_1|$ and B_1 is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $S_1 \cong \mathrm{G}_2(q)$. So $|N : A_3| = (q^6 - 1)/(q - 1)$ (which is divisible by $q + 1$). However $|N : A_2| = |S_3 : B_3|$ and $|N : A_3| = |S_3 : B_3'|$ are coprime indices of maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$, and by [10, Table 7], one of these indices is the index of a parabolic, and hence equal to $q + 1$, a contradiction. Thus $\mu(N) = 2$. $\square$

7. Sporadic groups

Proof of Theorem A for the sporadic groups. The group T is one of the 27 sporadic simple groups (note that we did not consider the simple group ${}^2F_4(2)'$ in Section 6). Fix $\omega \in \Omega$. Since T_ω is pseudo-maximal in T, there exists an almost simple group A with socle T and a maximal subgroup M of A with $T \nsubseteq M$ and $T_\omega = T \cap M$. If T is not the Fisher-Griess Monster, in the proof of this result we may use the complete list of the maximal subgroups of A available in [1, 8]; in particular, the tuple (A, T, M, T_ω) is in [1, 8]. If T is the Monster, then $\mathrm{Out}(T) = 1$, T has 43 known conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups and, by [5], an unknown maximal subgroup of T is almost simple. In particular, if T is the Monster and T_ω is conjugate to one of these unknown maximal subgroups, then by Proposition 2.7 we have that T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees. This shows that in the rest of this proof we can simply use the information on the subgroup lattice of the sporadic groups in [1, 8], including the Monster. We use the notation in [8].

In order to avoid a long list of cases to consider, we have checked with `magma` that this theorem holds true for $|\Omega| \leq 2000$ by a direct inspection (all primitive permutation groups of degree at most 2000 are in the `PrimitiveGroups` database). From the "Specification Structure" column in the list of maximal subgroups of A in [8], it can be readily cheched whether Proposition 2.7 or 2.9 applies, in this case the theorem immediately follows. Moreover, from the "Specification Order" column, it is immediate to see whether T_ω is a $\{p, q\}$-group, from which the theorem follows from Lemma 2.4. Furthermore, from the "Specification Abstract" column, sometimes it can be easily inferred whether T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow p-subgroup of T, for some prime p, so the theorem follows from Proposition 2.10 in this case. (For instance, if $T = J_1$ and $T_\omega \cong 7 : 6$, then we see that T_ω is the normalizer of a cyclic group of order 7. Since a Sylow 7-subgroup of T has order 7, we obtain that T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow 7-subgroup. For later reference we give another example. If $T = McL$ and $T_\omega = 5_+^{1+2} : 3 : 8$, we see that T_ω contains a Sylow 5-subgroup P of T and that $P \trianglelefteq T$. As T_ω is a maximal subgroup of T, we obtain $T_\omega = \mathbf{N}_T(P)$.) Now the proof is a case-by-case analysis on the tuples (A, T, M, T_ω) which do not meet any of the conditions described in this paragraph: Table 2 gives all possible such pairs (T, T_ω).

Let (T, T_ω) be one of the pairs in Table 2 and let N be the last term of the derived series of T_ω (as we defined in Section 3, this means that $N \trianglelefteq T_\omega$, T_ω/N is soluble and $N = [N, N]$). Note that $N > 1$. From Lemma 3.2, the group N fixes only the point ω of Ω.

Assume that (T, T_ω) is not one of the following nine pairs.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(J_4, 2^{3+12}.(S_5 \times L_3(2))), & (Fi_{24}', 2^{3+12}.(L_3(2) \times A_6), & (M, 2^{3+6+12+18}.(3S_6 \times L_3(2))), \\
(B, [2^{35}].(S_5 \times L_3(2))), & (McL, 2^4 : A_7), & (Fi_{23}, 2^{6+8}.(A_7 \times S_3)), \\
(Co_2, 3_+^{1+4} : 2_-^{1+4}.S_5), & (B, 3_+^{1+8} : 2_-^{1+6}.U_4(2).2), & (B, 5_+^{1+4} : 2_-^{1+4}.A_5.4).
\end{array}$$

With a direct inspection, we see that N contains a normal p-subgroup P such that N/P is either a quasisimple group, or isomorphic to $A_5 \times A_5$ and $p = 2$ (here T is the Harada-Norton group HN). We show that $\mu(N) \leq 2$, from which it follows by Lemma 2.4 that T has at most two non-trivial coprime subdegrees. We argue by contradiction and we assume that $\mu(N) \geq 3$ and we let A_1, A_2, A_3 be three distinct maximal subgroups of N with pairwise coprime index in N. Let U/P be the centre of N/P. From Lemma 3.1, p divides $|N : A_3|$ and $N/U = (A_1/U)(A_2/U)$ is a maximal coprime factorization of N/U. Suppose first that $N/U = A_5 \times A_5$. Since in every coprime factorization of $A_5 \times A_5$, one of the two maximal subgroups has even index and as $p = 2$, we obtain a contradiction. Suppose now that N/U is simple. Therefore $(N/U, A_1/U, A_2/U)$ is in [10, Table 1]. A direct inspection on N/U, on p, and on the maximal coprime factorizations of N/U in [10, Table 1] shows that p divides either $|N : A_1|$ or $|N : A_2|$, a contradiction.

It remains to consider the case that (T, T_ω) is one of the nine pairs that we excluded above. Suppose that (T, T_ω) is one of the first six pairs (those in the first two rows). It is immediate, comparing the order of T_ω with the order of T, to check that T_ω contains a Sylow 2-subgroup S of T. It can be readily seen from [15, Theorem 3] that S is self-normalizing in T, that is, $S = \mathbf{N}_T(S)$. In particular, T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow 2-subgroup of T and hence, from Proposition 2.10, every non-trivial subdegree of T is even.

Assume that $T = B$ and $T_\omega = 5_+^{1+4} : 2_-^{1+4}.A_5.4$. From [28, Section 3 and Table III], we see that T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow 5-subgroup of T and hence, by Proposition 2.10, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by 5.

Assume that $T = B$ and $T_\omega = 3_+^{1+8} : 2_-^{1+6}.U_4(2).2$. Write $N = [T_\omega, T_\omega]$. From Lemma 3.2, N fixes only the point ω of Ω. Next we show that $\mu(N) = 2$, from which the theorem follows from Lemma 2.4. We argue by contradiction and we assume that $\mu(N) \geq 3$ and we let A_1, A_2 and A_3 be three maximal subgroups of N with pairwise coprime index in N. Let U be the normal subgroup of N with $N/U \cong U_4(2)$. Note that if $U \nleq A_i$, then $N = A_iU$ and $|N : A_i| = |U : (U \cap A_i)|$ is divisible by 2 or 3. Since U is a $\{2, 3\}$-group, there exist at most two elements of $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ not containing U. Moreover, if $U \leq A_i$, then A_i/U is a maximal subgroup of the simple group N/U. As $\mu(U_4(2)) \leq 2$ from Lemma 2.7, there exist at most two elements of $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ containing U. Therefore, relabelling the set $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ if necessary, we have two cases to consider $(i) : U \leq A_1, A_2$ and $U \nleq A_3$, or $(ii) : U \leq A_1$ and $U \nleq A_2, A_3$. In (i), $N/U = (A_1/U)(A_2/U)$ is a maximal factorization of N/U with two subgroups having coprime index. With a direct inspection on the subgroup lattice of $U_4(2)$ (or from [10, Table 1]), we see that (replacing A_1 by A_2 if necessary) $|N : A_1| = 27$ and $|N : A_2| = 40$. Since $|N : A_3| = 2^\alpha 3^\beta$ for some α and β, we obtain a contradiction. In (i), replacing A_2 by A_3 if necessary, we may assume that $|N : A_2|$ is divisible by 2 and $|N : A_3|$ is divisible by 3. Now A_1/U is a maximal subgroup of N/U. With a direct inspection on the subgroup lattice of $U_4(2)$ we see that $|N : A_1| \in \{27, 36, 40, 45\}$. Since each of these numbers is divisible by 2 or by 3, we obtain a contradiction.

It remains to consider the case that $T = Co_2$ and $T_\omega = 3_+^{1+4} : 2_-^{1+4}.S_5$. Comparing the order of T with the order of T_ω we see that T_ω contains a Sylow 3-subgroup S of T. From [28, Section 2 and Table I], we see that $|\mathbf{N}_T(S)| = 32|S|$. The generators of T_ω are available in [1]. Now by a computation in `magma` we check that $|\mathbf{N}_{T_\omega}(S)| = 32|S|$ and hence T_ω contains the normalizer of a Sylow 3-subgroup of T. By Proposition 2.10, every non-trivial subdegree of T is divisible by 3. □

References

[1] Atlas of Group Representations, `http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/spor`.

[2] M. Aschbacher, *Finite Group Theory*, Second Edition, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **10**, Cambridge University Press 2000.

[3] R. W. Baddeley, C. E. Praeger, On classifying all full factorisations and multiple-factorisations of the finite almost simple groups, *J. Algebra* **204** (1998), 129–187.

[4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.

[5] J. N. Bray, R. A. Wilson, Explicit representations of maximal subgroups of the Monster, *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), 834–857.

[6] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation groups*, London Mathematical Society, Student Texts **45**, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[7] A. M. Cohen, M. W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, G. M. Seitz, The local maximal subgroups of exceptional groups of Lie type, finite and algebraic, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **64** (1992), 12–48.

[8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[9] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[10] S. Dolfi, R. Guralnick, C. E. Praeger, P. Spiga, Coprime subdegrees for primitive permutation groups and completely reducible linear groups, *Israel J. Math.* to appear. Preprint available at the Math arXiv: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6559`

[11] D. Easdown, C. E. Praeger, On minimal faithful permutation representations of finite groups, *Bull. Austr. Math. Soc.* **38** (1988), 207–220.

[12] D. Goreinstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 3*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1998.

[13] M. Isaacs, C. E. Praeger, Permutation group subdegrees and the common divisor graph, *J. Algebra* **159** (1993), 158–175.

[14] P. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **129**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[15] A. S. Kondrat'ev, Normalizers of the Sylow 2-subgroups in Finite Simple Groups, *Mathematical Notes* **78** (2005), 338–346.

[16] A. S. Kondrat'ev, V. D. Mazurov, 2-signalizers of finite simple groups, *Algebra and Logic* **42** (2003), 594–623.

[17] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, On the O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **44** (1988), 389-396.

[18] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, J. Saxl, *The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Volume **86**, Nr **432**, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, 1990.

[19] M. W. Liebeck, G. M. Seitz, Maximal subgroups of exceptional groups of Lie type, finite and algebraic, *Geometriae Dedicata* **35** (1990), 353–387.

[20] M. W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, G. M. Seitz, Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **65** (1992), 297–325.

[21] D. Livingstone, A permutation representation of the Janko group, *J. Algebra* **6** (1967), 43–55.

[22] G. Malle, Height 0 characters of finite groups of Lie type, *Representation Theory* **11** (2007), 192–220.

[23] P. M. Neumann, *Topics in group theory and computation*: proceedings of a Summer School held at University College, Galway under the auspices of the Royal Irish Academy from 16th to 21st August, 1973. Edited by Michael P. J. Curran.

[24] C. E. Praeger, Finite quasiprimitive graphs, in Surveys in combinatorics, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, vol. 24 (1997), 65–85.

[25] G. M. Seitz, Unipotent subgroups of groups of Lie type, *J. Algebra* **84** (1983), 253–278.

[26] M. Suzuki, *Group Theory I*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1982.

[27] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1968.

[28] R. A. Wilson, The McKay conjecture is true for the sporadic simple groups, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), 294–305.

Silvio Dolfi, Dipartimento di Matematica “Ulisse Dini”, Universitá degli studi di Firenze,
Viale Morgagni 67/a, 50134 Firenze Italy
E-mail address: `dolfi@math.unifi.it`

Robert Guralnick, Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California,
Los Angeles, California USA 90089–2532
E-mail address: `guralnic@usc.edu`

Cheryl E. Praeger, Centre for Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation,
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
E-mail address: `Cheryl.Praeger@uwa.edu.au`

Pablo Spiga, Centre for Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation,
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
E-mail address: `pablo.spiga@unimib.it`

Group T	Subgroup T_ω
HS	$2^4.S_6$, $4^3 : L_3(2)$, $4.2^4 : S_5$
J_3	$2^4 : (3 \times A_5)$, $2_-^{1+4} : A_5$
M_{24}	$2^6 : (L_3(2) \times S_3)$
McL	$3_+^{1+4}.2S_5$, $3^4 : M_{10}$, $2^4 : A_7$
He	$2^6 : 3.S_6$, $2_+^{1+6}L_3(2)$, $7^2 : 2L_2(7)$
Ru	$2^{3+8} : L_3(2)$, $2.2^{4+6} : S_5$, $5^2 : 4S_5$
Suz	$2_-^{1+6} : U_4(2)$, $3^5 : M_{11}$, $2^{4+6} : 3A_6$, $2^{2+8} : (A_5 \times S_3)$
$O'N$	$4^3 : L_3(2)$
Co_3	$3^5 : (2 \times M_{11})$, $3_+^{1+4} : 4S_6$, $2^4.A_8$
Co_2	$2^{10} : M_{22} : 2$, $2_+^{1+8} : S_6(2)$, $(2_+^{1+6} \times 2^4).A_8$, $2^{4+10}(S_5 \times S_3)$, $3_+^{1+4} : 2_-^{1+4}.S_5$
Fi_{22}	$3^5 : (2 \times U_4(2))$, $2^{10} : M_{22}$, $2^6 : S_6(2)$, $(2 \times 2_+^{1+8} : U_4(2)) : 2$, $(2_+^{5+8} : (S_3 \times A_6))$
HN	$2_+^{1+8}.(A_5 \times A_5).2$, $2^6.U_4(2)$, $2^3.2^2.2^6.(3 \times L_3(2))$, $5^2.5.5^2 : 4A_5$, $3_+^{1+4} : 4A5$
Ly	$5^3.L_3(5)$, $5_+^{1+4} : 4S_6$, $3^5 : (2 \times M_{11})$, $3^{2+4} : (2A_5).D_8$
Th	$2^5.L_5(2)$, $2^{1+8}.A_9$, $3^5 : 2S_6$, $5^2 : \mathrm{GL}_2(5)$
Fi_{23}	$2^{11}.M_{23}$, $3^{10}.(L_3(3) \times 2)$, $(2^2 \times 2_+^{1+8}).(3 \times U_4(2)).2$, $2^{6+8} : (A_7 \times S_3)$
Co_1	$2^{11}.M_{24}$, $2_+^{1+8}.O_8^+(2)$, $2^{2+12} : (A_8 \times S_3)$, $5^2 : 4A_5$, $2^{4+12}.(S_3 \times 3S_6)$, $3^6 : 2M_{12}$, $3_+^{1+4} : 2U_4(2) : 2$, $5_+^{1+2} : \mathrm{GL}_2(5)$, $5^3 : (4 \times A_5).2$,
J_4	$2^{11} : M_{24}$, $2^{10} : L_5(2)$, $2_+^{1+12}.3M_{22} : 2$, $2^{3+12}.(S_5 \times L_3(2))$
Fi'_{24}	$3^7.O_7(3)$, $3^{1+10} : U_5(2) : 2$, $2^{11}.M_{24}$, $2^{1+12} : 3.U_4(3).2$, $3^{2+4+8}.(A_5 \times 2A_4).2$, $[3^{13}] : (L_3(3) \times 2)$, $2^{3+12}.(L_3(2) \times A_6)$, $2^{6+8}.(S_3 \times A_8)$
B	$2^{1+22}.Co_2$, $2^{9+16}.S_8(2)$, $2^{2+10+20}(M_{22} : 2 \times S_3)$, $[2^{30}].L_5(2)$, $[2^{35}].(S_5 \times L_3(2))$, $3^{1+8}.2^{1+6}.U_4(2).2$, $5^3.L_3(5)$, $5^{1+4}.2^{1+4}.A_5.4$
M	$2^{1+24}.Co_1$, $2^{10+16}.O_{10}^+(2)$, $2^{2+11+22}.(M_{24} \times S_3)$, $3^{1+12}.2Suz.2$, $2^{5+10+20}.(S_3 \times L_5(2))$, $2^{3+6+12+18}.(3S_6 \times L_3(2))$, $3^8.O_8^-(3).2$, $3^{2+5+10}.(M_{11} \times 2S_4)$, $3^{3+2+6+6} : (L_3(3) \times SD_{16})$, $5^{1+6} : 2J_2 : 4$, $5^{3+3}.(2 \times L_3(5))$, $5^{2+2+4} : (S_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_2(5))$, $7^{1+4} : (3 \times 2S_7)$, $5^4 : (3 \times 2L_2(25)) : 2$, $7^{2+1+2} : \mathrm{GL}_2(7)$, $13^2 : 2L_2(13) : 4$, $7^2 : \mathrm{SL}_2(7)$

TABLE 2. Pseudo-maximal subgroups of T relevant to the proof of Theorem A for the sporadic simple groups